CMS Introduces New State Medicaid Block Grant Option to Criticism from Congressional Democrats, Drug Companies, and Patient and Physician Advocacy Groups

In an event at the Department of Health and Human Services on January 30, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) Administrator Seema Verma, alongside Health and Human Services Secretary Alex Azar and Domestic Policy Council Director Joe Grogan, introduced the “Healthy Adult Opportunity” (HAO) optional Medicaid block grant demonstration initiative.

Details of the proposal was contained in a State Medicaid Director Letter released earlier that same morning.

The HAO demonstration initiative will allow states to cap their Medicaid spending through two different models of block grants—a “Total Expenses Model” and a “Per Enrollee Model”—that CMS says are designed to provide states with more flexibility to administer higher quality and more efficient services. The HAO program would only be available for the population of adults under 65 who are not eligible on the basis of a disability or long-term care services, or not otherwise already eligible under a state plan.

Under HAO, state Medicaid programs would:

- Gain access to flexibilities previously offered through demonstrations under § 1115 of the Social Security Act, as well as several new options;
- Be required to cap Medicaid spending, potentially reducing the number of enrollees or the benefits they receive, but also allowed to target coverage to high need populations;
- Be able to use a closed drug formulary which limits which drugs are available to as few as one FDA-approved drug in each U.S. Pharmacopeia category or class, although multiple behavioral health drugs would have to be included, as well as all FDA-approved drugs for treating opioid use disorder;
- Be required to maintain both mental health and substance use disorder services, as well as preventive services, as essential health benefits (EHBs);
- Be able to establish higher premiums and co-pays for the covered population, not exceeding 5 percent of the beneficiary’s household income;
- Be able to retain between 25 to 50 percent of any state’s savings under the Total Expenses Model, depending on performance on a mandated list of measures, including a dozen behavioral health measures and an additional depression screening measure for primary care providers; and
- Utilize a streamlined waiver application process and not be required to submit to CMS for approval State Plan Amendments for changes to the demonstration prior to those changes being made.

The coverage of preventive services continue to be mandated.

In advance of Ms. Verma’s announcement, more than 30 Congressional Democrats voiced their opposition through a letter arguing that the proposal is contrary to the intent of Medicaid and in violation of § 1115. The letter cites concerns that capping the Federal Medicaid contribution to states would lead to decreased enrollment, decreased service provision, and increased vulnerability for low-income patients were cited.

In addition, the letter contends that states would have less accountability to the Federal government, potentially reducing quality of care and availability of services. Though there would still be a minimum level of coverage required based on the Affordable Care Act’s EHBs, states could decide who to cover and could elect not to provide optional Medicaid benefits like long-term care services and transportation to medical appointments, and could drop retroactive coverage and presumptive eligibility for hospital admission.

Drug companies criticized the new closed formulary option. At present, Medicaid covers all of a manufacturer’s FDA-approved drugs if the manufacturer provides rebates on prices in exchange. The HAO model would allow states to cover fewer of a manufacturer’s drugs—even if rebates have been paid. In addition, the manufacturers worry that, with an expected lack of immediate coverage for newly developed, more expensive drugs, reimbursement would be unlikely to cover the manufacturers’ research and development costs.

CMS previously told states such as Massachusetts that they could only create a limited drug formulary if they gave up the mandatory manufacturer rebates; that position appears to have changed.

A group of 27 patient organizations, including the National Alliance on Mental Illness (NAMI) and the National Patient Advocate Foundation, wrote in a letter to Ms. Verma: “As the gap between the capped allotment and actual costs of patient care increases

(Continued on page 12)
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NRI is Creating a 2020 State Mental Health Profile System – SMHA Information Sought

The NRI Board of Directors, primarily comprised of State Mental Health Agency (SMHA) Commissioners and their senior staff, has initiated a new State Profiles System (SPS) to provide SMHAs with up-to-date information about the financing and organization of state mental health systems. For over 20 years, NRI has been providing SMHAs information about the organization, funding, operation, services, policies, statutes, staffing, and clients of all SMHAs across the U.S. States, NASMHPD, and advocates use this information in budgeting, planning, and evaluating state mental health systems and in responding to requests from Governor’s, Legislators, media, and advocates. The 2020 SPS Components were sent to all SMHAs on January 14, 2020 and responses are due from states by March 20, 2020. NRI will begin producing topical reports utilizing the Profiles data soon after state responses are finalized.

The SPS components for 2020 were developed with guidance from an advisory group comprised of SMHA Commissioners, Planners, and program staff, as well as staff from NASMHPD and NRI. The 2020 SPS components build on prior years’ components, but have been tailored to address new issues facing the states, and edited to ensure that only relevant information is included. Based on major policy topics raised by SMHA Commissioners and their senior staff, the 2020 SPS includes expanded components addressing Forensic Mental Health Services (including a focus on competency assessment and restoration activities in hospital and community settings), and a new Residential Continuum of Care component addressing housing options and supports provided by the SMHA for individuals with mental illness.

The updated 2020 SPS is a self-funded effort by the SMHAs that recognize the value in having access to an up-to-date, comprehensive database of comparable information about all SMHAs that states can use for budgeting, planning, and policymaking at the local, state, and national levels. Having access to this information will provide critical information to SMHA leadership and will reduce the burden on SMHAs of compiling information for decision makers, planners, researchers, and others through the availability of a centralized, standard compilation of information about the financing of SMHAs. To date, over half of the states have committed to helping fund this initiative.

Every state that completes the 2020 SPS Components will receive general reports showing state and national trends. However, states that financially support this initiative will also receive more expansive, customized state reports with additional details and trends. For more information about supporting this important initiative, please contact NRI’s Executive Director/CEO, Tim Knettler at tknettler@nri-inc.org or 703-738-8160.

Nearly 50 years ago, residential crisis treatment emerged as a psychiatric hospital alternative in a vastly different setting--in homes with features much like the neighboring houses occupied by people who are not in crisis. While the model has since been expanded and experimented with, the homelike environment remained constant up until recently as communities have begun building multi-function crisis centers in the same buildings.

In this webinar we gather national experts in residential crisis treatment to explore the extent to which residential crisis services should be provided in a home or homelike setting, and the implications of providing them anywhere else.

Moderator:
Travis Atkinson, Consultant, TBD Solutions

Panelists:
Steve Fields, Executive Director, The Progress Foundation, CA
Steve Miccio, Executive Director, People, USA, NY
Jaime Brewer, Director of Programs, Community Reach Center, CO

Register at CrisisResidentialNetwork.com
Register HERE
Suicide Risk Higher in ED Patients Admitted for Self-Harm One Year Following Discharge

People who presented to California emergency departments (EDs) with deliberate nonfatal self-harm had a suicide rate one year following their ED visit 56.8 times higher than demographically similar Californians, according to a study published December 13 in *JAMA Network Open*.

The findings demonstrate the importance of universal suicide risk screening in EDs and the need for appropriate discharge planning and telephone follow-up support to ensure a seamless service transition to community mental health providers.

In their study funded by the National Institute of Mental Health, Sidra Goldman-Mellor, M.P.H., Ph.D., an associate professor in the Department of Public Health at University of California at Merced, and her colleagues sought to understand (1) patterns of suicide risk and other mortality in ED patients who presented with nonfatal deliberate self-harm, suicidal ideation, and other chief concerns one year from the ED visit; and (2) suicide risk by patient clinical and sociodemographic characteristics.

The research investigators analyzed discharge data from California residents 10 years and older who visited a licensed California ED at least once from January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2011. The cohort was divided into three groups: patients with deliberate nonfatal self-harm with or without co-occurring suicidal ideation; patients presenting with suicidal ideation, but without deliberate self-harm; and “reference” patients, defined as those falling within a 5 percent random sample of all other ED patients presenting with any other chief medical concerns.

Of the 648,646 patients, 83,507 were classified in the presenting with deliberate self-harm cohort, 67,379 patients in the presenting suicidal ideation cohort, and 497,760 in the reference group. Patients in the self-harm and suicidal ideation cohorts were younger and more likely to be of non-Hispanic white race/ethnicity.

Dr. Goldman-Mellor and colleagues calculated the crude mortality rates per 100,000 persons-years of follow-up for suicide or causes of death one year after the first emergency department for the three groups. They found the probability of suicide mortality within the first year of the ED visit was 56.8 times higher among patients in the deliberate self-harm cohort, 31.4 times higher for patients in the suicidal ideation group and 1.9 times higher for the reference group in comparison to a demographically matched general population.

When examining clinical and sociodemographic characteristics, the researchers found that men and individuals 65 years of age and older had higher rates of suicide than women and those 10 to 24 years of age for all three groups. Suicide rates were also higher for non-Hispanic whites than other racial/ethnic groups. Moreover, patients with Medicaid coverage or self-pay had lower suicide rates than those with private insurance coverage. The authors acknowledge that this difference might be attributed to Medicaid recipients having better access to mental health coverage than the privately insured. However, insurance status was not associated with suicide risk in the reference group.

Comorbid clinical diagnoses were associated with elevated suicide risk. Patients with deliberate self-harm and a comorbid diagnosis of bipolar disorder, anxiety disorder, or psychotic disorder were more likely to die by suicide than patients without those diagnoses. For patients with suicidal ideation, a comorbid diagnosis of depression was associated with elevated suicide risk. Reference patients with comorbid diagnosed depression bipolar disorder, and alcohol use disorder had increased suicide risk, but other clinical diagnoses were not associated with increased suicide risk.

Firearm injury was significantly associated with elevated risk of suicide mortality. Patients in the deliberate self-harm group whose incident involved a firearm injury had a suicide rate 4.4 percent higher in the following year than the other subgroups.

“These findings suggest that ED patients with deliberate self-harm or suicidal ideation are associated with substantially increased risk of suicide and other mortality during the year after ED presentation,” noted the authors.
How #CrisisTalk is Transforming Dialogue in Behavioral Health

The National Association of State Mental Health Program Directors (NASMHPD) and its Crisis Now partners—the National Suicide Prevention Lifeline and Vibrant Emotional Health, the National Action Alliance for Suicide Prevention, the National Council for Behavioral Health, and R.I. International—have launched the #CrisisTalk website, sparking much-needed dialogue on behavioral health crises. The new publication provides a platform for diverse experts and people with Lived Experience to exchange thoughts, knowledge, and innovations. Each article shares a person’s perspective, whether that’s an emergency department doctor who tells her story, revealing the challenges emergency physicians experience when faced with a patient in crisis, or a student with suicidal ideation and his university choosing legal self-protection over doing what was best for him.

The objective is to facilitate conversations about mental health crises, including missed opportunities, gaps, tools, and best practices. #CrisisTalk is sharing the diverse stories of people affected by behavioral health crises, including those who have experienced one, loved ones, and stakeholders who need to be part of the conversation, swinging the pendulum worldwide toward awareness and change.

#CrisisTalk interviews reflect the perspectives of mental health experts and first responders. They point out common misconceptions and challenges in their fields and the communities they serve. This includes why some locations do not develop a full continuum of crisis care services. The discussions transcend geography and illustrate ways to make positive changes in the crisis space. Simply having a conversation with a person in crisis, a non-judgmental, empathic approach, along with a willingness to listen and sit with someone, can go a long way.

#CrisisTalk is part of CrisisNow.com, a roadmap to safe, effective crisis care that diverts people in distress from the emergency department and jail by developing a continuum of crisis care services that match clinical needs to care. To learn more, visit www.CrisisNow.com/talk.

A study published in JAMA at the end of 2019 (see previous page) revealed that people who went to the emergency department (also known as the emergency room) with non-fatal deliberate self-harm or suicidal ideation were at high risk of suicide within the first year after discharge. Researchers Sidra Goldman-Mellor, Ph.D., Mark Olfson, M.D., MPH, Cristina Lidon-Moyano, Ph.D., and their colleagues looked at patient record and mortality data for 648,649 people who visited an emergency department (ED) in California between 2009 and 2011. What they found is that suicide mortality was nearly 57 times higher among patients with deliberate self-harm—with or without suicidal ideation and 31.4 times higher among those with suicidal ideation but not deliberate self-harm. Leading expert on suicide, David A. Jobes, PH.D., ABPP, a psychology professor and the director of the Suicide Prevention Laboratory at The Catholic University of America in Washington, D.C., says the ED has become the default crisis service provider for people experiencing a range of mental health needs, including those who ran out of or lost medication and don’t have anywhere else to turn. The problem, says Dr. Jobes, who developed the Collaborative Assessment and Management of Suicidality (CAMS), a suicide-focused psychological treatment that is designed to change people’s suicidal thinking and behavior, is that “one size doesn’t fit all.”

Crisis Now Partners:
The National Association of State Mental Health Program Directors (NASMHPD), founded in 1959 and based in Alexandria, VA, represents the $41 billion public mental health service delivery system serving 7.5 million people annually in all 50 states, 4 territories, and the District of Columbia. NASMHPD (pronounced “NASH-bid”) is the only national association to represent state mental health commissioners/directors and their agencies, and serves as the lead for www.CrisisNow.com.

The National Suicide Prevention Lifeline and Vibrant Emotional Health provides free and confidential emotional support and crisis counselling to people in suicidal crisis or emotional distress 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, across the United States. Funded by the U.S. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) and administered by Vibrant Emotional Health, the Lifeline engages in innovative public messaging, development of best practices in mental health, creative partnerships, and more to improve crisis services and advance suicide prevention for all. www.suicidepreventionlifeline.org | www.vibrant.org | www.twitter.com/80273TALK

The National Action Alliance for Suicide Prevention is the public-private partnership working with more than 250 national partners advancing the National Strategy for Suicide Prevention with the vision of a nation free from the tragic experience of suicide and a goal of reducing the annual suicide rate 20 percent by 2025. Administered by EDC, Inc., the Alliance Action was the catalyst for the Zero Suicide Healthcare and Crisis w: Transforming Services innovations. www.theactionalliance.org | www.edc.org | www.twitter.com/Action_Alliance

The National Council for Behavioral Health is the unifying voice of America’s health care organizations that deliver mental health and addiction services. Together with their 3,000 member organizations serving over 10 million adults, children and families living with mental illnesses and addictions, the National Council is committed to all Americans having access to comprehensive, high-quality care that affords every opportunity for recovery. The National Council introduced Mental Health First Aid USA and have trained more than 1.5 million Americans. www.thenationalcouncil.org | www.mentalhealthfirstaid.org | www.twitter.com/NationalCouncil

R.I. International (d/b/a for Recovery Innovations, Inc.) is a global organization that offers more than 50 programs throughout the United States and abroad, characterized by recovery and a focus on what’s strong, not what’s wrong. More than 50% of employees report a lived experience with mental health, and the “Fusion Model” crisis stabilization programs are featured in Crisis Now. The Company also provides training and consulting internationally and supports Zero Suicide International, a partnership with Behavioral Health Link. www.riinternational.com | www.zerosuicide.org | www.twitter.com/RI_International
We strongly encourage you to register online at our website for the fastest and most efficient process.
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Oureach and engagement require a rich set of interpersonal skills and deep understanding of what it means to engage with someone who is struggling with significant vulnerabilities. Direct service providers and outreach workers will learn evidence-based practices and skills related to reaching out and assisting a diverse population experiencing homelessness, including those with serious mental illness (SMI), substance use disorders, or co-occurring disorders (CODs).

This second webinar in a series will provide an overview of permanent supportive housing (PSH) models and the practice of Housing First as a means to ending homelessness for people who have SMI and CODs. Attendees will hear from a PSH expert as well as a provider in Pennsylvania who has implemented a successful PSH program.

Presenters:
- Pat Tucker, M.B.A., M.A., Senior Program Manager, Advocates for Human Potential
- Shawn Frankenstein, Housing Coordinator, Service and Access Management, Inc., Pottsville, Pennsylvania

Moderator: HHRN Deputy Director Sherri Downing. Participants will have an opportunity to ask questions, which will be addressed at the end of the presentation. A link to the recording will be made available.

Register HERE

Future webinars in the Effective Outreach and Engagement Series include:
- Addressing Homelessness: Harm Reduction and Recovery for People with SMI/CODs
  February 25, 2:00 p.m. to 3:15 p.m. E.T.
- Addressing Homelessness: Promoting Self-Care, Wellness, and Treatment Adherence Among People with SMI/CODs - March 10, 2:00 p.m. to 3:15 p.m. E.T.
- Addressing Homelessness: Crisis Intervention Strategies for People with SMI/CODs
  March 24, 2:00 p.m. to 3:15 p.m. E.T.
Scholarships for Disadvantaged Students Program – Technical Assistance

Apply Now
Apply for the 2020 Scholarship for Disadvantaged Students – through March 3, 2020

Technical Assistance
Technical assistance helps you understand Scholarships for Disadvantaged Students (SDS) Program requirements.

Thursday, February 6, 2:00 p.m. - 3:30 p.m. E.T.  Thursday, February 20, 2:00 – 3:00 p.m. E.T.
Call (toll-free): 888-455-2923 | Passcode: 8103807
Join the webinars 📅

Where does SDS funding go?
The SDS program funds academic institutions that are training health profession students. They then make the scholarship awards available to students.

Do you qualify for the SDS program?
Contact your financial aid office. You can find out if they participate and get more details.

What guidance helps SDS program applicants?
- HRSA-16-069 Funding Opportunity Announcement: Scholarships for Disadvantaged Students (PDF - 4.4 MB)
- Poverty Guidelines (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services)

SAMHSA FUNDING OPPORTUNITY ANNOUNCEMENT
Services Grant Program for Residential Treatment for Pregnant and Postpartum Women (TI-20-07)

Funding Mechanism: Grant  Anticipated Total Available Funding: $1.8 million
Anticipated Number of Awards: 3 (At least 1 tribes/tribal organization, pending adequate application volume)
Anticipated Award Amount: up to $525,000 per year
Length of Project: Up to 5 Years  Cost Sharing/Match Required?: Yes

Application Due Date: Tuesday, March 30, 2020

The Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA), Center for Substance Abuse Treatment (CSAT) is accepting applications for fiscal year (FY) 2020 Residential Treatment for Pregnant and Postpartum Women grant program (Short Title: PPW). The purpose of this program is to provide pregnant and postpartum women treatment for substance use disorders through programs in which, during the course of receiving treatment, 1) the women reside in or receive outpatient treatment services from facilities provided by the programs; 2) the minor children of the women reside with the women in such facilities, if the women so request; and 3) the services are available to or on behalf of the women.

Eligibility: Eligible applicants are domestic public and private nonprofit entities.

PPW recipients that received grant awards under the following Announcement Numbers are not eligible to apply for this funding opportunity:
- TI-14-005 - Grants funded in FY 2016; and

Recipients funded under SM-17-006 are not eligible to apply for funding under this FOA.

Contacts:
Program Issues: Linda White-Young, Center for Substance Abuse Treatment (CSAT), Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA). (240) 276-1581, Linda.White-Young@samhsa.hhs.gov

The Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA), Center for Mental Health Services (CMHS), is accepting applications for fiscal year (FY) 2020 Certified Community Behavioral Health Clinics (CCBHCs) Expansion Grants (Short Title: CCBHC Expansion Grants). The purpose of this program is to increase access to and improve the quality of community mental and substance use disorder treatment services through the expansion of CCBHCs. CCBHCs provide person- and family-centered integrated services. The CCBHC Expansion grant program must provide access to services including 24/7 crisis intervention services for individuals with serious mental illness (SMI) or substance use disorders (SUD), including opioid use disorders; children and adolescents with serious emotional disturbance (SED); and individuals with co-occurring mental and substance disorders (COD). SAMHSA expects that this program will provide comprehensive 24/7 access to community-based mental and substance use disorder services; treatment of co-occurring disorders; and physical healthcare in one single location.

Eligibility: Certified community behavioral health clinics or community-based behavioral health clinics who may not yet be certified but meet the certification criteria and can be certified within 4 months of award. Recipients funded under SM 18-19 in 2019 are not eligible to apply for this funding opportunity, since those organizations will be implementing a second year of grant funding at the time of award of this announcement. Those entities whose CCBHC-Expansion grant funding is ending by September 2020 are eligible to apply.

Contacts:
Program Issues: Nancy Kelly, Center for Mental Health Services, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA). (240) 276-1839, nancy.kelly@samhsa.hhs.gov.

ON-LINE COURSE - 330.610.89 - Knowledge for Managing County and Local Mental Health, Substance Use, and Developmental Disability Authorities

Location: Internet  Term: Summer Inst. Term  Department: Mental Health
Credits: 1 credits  Academic Year: 2020 – 2021  Dates: Tue 05/26/2020 - Wed 06/10/2020
Auditors Allowed: Yes, with instructor consent  Grading Restriction: Letter Grade or Pass/Fail
Course Instructor: Ronald Manderscheid  Contact: Ronald Manderscheid
Frequency Schedule: One Year Only
Resources:
- CoursePlus
- Evaluations

Description:
Reviews the key features of successful management of county and local authorities that oversee and conduct mental health, substance use, and developmental disability services. Also explores environmental factors that impact local operations, as well as facility with key tools to plan and implement services. Specifically explores two principal environmental factors, i.e., National Health Reform and Medicaid, and two primary tools for management, i.e., strategic planning and needs assessment. Emphasizes practical knowledge so that managers can apply the information immediately upon returning to their programs. Students are expected to bring practical problems to the course and to leave with useful strategies and tools for solving them.

Learning Objectives:
Upon successfully completing this course, students will be able to:

1. Assess the impact of National Health Reform and Medicaid on their own programs and will be able to employ useful strategic planning and needs assessment tools
2. Describe the essential features of National Health Reform and the Medicaid Program
3. Engage successfully in local strategic planning and needs assessment initiatives

Methods of Assessment:
This course is evaluated as follows:

- 35% Participation
- 65% Final Paper

Instructor Consent:
No consent required

Special Comments:
Project is due June 30, 2020
The Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) seeks nominations for new members to the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF). Since 1998, the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) has been authorized by Congress to convene the Task Force and to provide ongoing scientific, administrative, and dissemination support to the Task Force.

The USPSTF is an independent, volunteer panel of national experts in disease prevention and evidence-based medicine. The Task Force works to improve the health of all Americans by making evidence-based recommendations about clinical preventive services. The Task Force assigns each of its recommendations a letter grade (an A, B, C, or D grade or an I statement) based on the strength of the evidence and the balance of benefits and harms of a preventive service. Section 2713 of the Affordable Care Act requires private insurers to cover preventive services recommended by the USPSTF with a grade of A or B, at no cost to the insured.

The Task Force does not consider the costs of a preventive service when determining a recommendation grade. The recommendations apply only to people who have no signs or symptoms of the specific disease or condition under evaluation, and the recommendations address only services offered in the primary care setting or services referred by a primary care clinician.

Each year, new members are appointed to replace those who will be completing their service. To learn more about the nomination process, how to nominate an individual for consideration, or how to self-nominate, go here.

Nominations must be received by March 15, 2020 to be considered for appointment with an anticipated start date of January 2021.

Qualified candidates must demonstrate expertise and national leadership in:

- Clinical preventive services
- Critical evaluation of research
- Implementation of evidence-based recommendations in clinical practice

In addition, AHRQ seeks diverse candidates who have experience in public health; the reduction of health disparities; the application of science to health policy; and the communication of findings to various audiences.
Solicitation for Applications
SAMHSA's GAINS Center Seeks Experienced Trainers to Participate in Trauma-Informed Responses Train-The-Trainer (TTT) Event
Applications are Due Friday, February 21

SAMHSA's GAINS Center for Behavioral Health and Justice Transformation, operated by Policy Research Associates, Inc. (PRA), is known nationally for its work regarding people with behavioral health needs involved in the criminal justice system. Each year, the GAINS Center provides its trauma-informed responses training, How Being Trauma-Informed Improves Criminal Justice System Responses, to the field via direct deliveries and Train-The-Trainer (TTT) events. The target audiences for the training program are primarily community-based criminal justice system professionals, including law enforcement, community corrections (probation, parole, and pre-trial services), court personnel, as well as human service providers that serve adult justice-involved populations.

To find out more about How Being Trauma-Informed Improves Criminal Justice System Responses training program, please visit the GAINS Center website here: https://www.samhsa.gov/gains-center

The GAINS Center is now soliciting applications from experienced trainers (individuals) who are interested in developing their capacity to provide trauma-informed training in their local agencies/communities via the GAINS Center’s How Being Trauma-Informed Improves Criminal Justice System Responses training program. Selected applicants will learn to facilitate the training via a centralized Train-The-Trainer (TTT) event and subsequently deliver the training program in their local communities across the country. While not a requirement to apply to this opportunity, this year’s training events will place special emphasis during the selection process on applicants who provide training to drug courts and/or re-entry programs.

The GAINS Center is offering this event to experienced trainers who successfully complete the application process at no cost to the participant. While participants must cover their own travel expenses, there are no fees for registration, tuition, materials, or follow-up technical assistance and support associated with the event. If this TTT event for individuals is of interest to you, please review the solicitation and submit your completed application form to the GAINS Center no later than February 21, 2020.

Don't miss this exciting opportunity to become the local “expert” in this training program for your agency or community!

To download the solicitation for the How Being Trauma-Informed Improves Criminal Justice System Responses TTT Event for Individual Trainers, click here

There are three TTT events scheduled for June 10-11, July 22-23 & August 12-13, 2020 at the Sage Colleges in Albany, NY, so please note the dates before applying. You will be expected to attend one of these full two-day trainings.

Samhsa’s GAINS Center for Behavioral Health and Justice Transformation is Funded by the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration.

CMS Introduces New State Medicaid Block Grant Option to Criticism from Stakeholder Groups
(Continued from page 1) over time, states will likely limit enrollment, reduce benefits, lower provider payments or increase cost sharing for patients.”

But it is worth noting that the fact sheet distributed by CMS describing the initiative says that states may propose to target coverage to a defined subset of high need individuals “such as individuals with severe mental illness, individuals needing treatment for substance use disorder, or individuals with HIV/AIDS.”

Physician groups echoed the concerns of the patient groups. The American Medical Association stated that funding caps would increase the number of uninsured patients and undermine Medicaid’s role as a safety net. The American Academy of Family Physicians expressed apprehension that a block grant system would increase health disparities and costs in rural communities by limiting the ability of Medicaid patients to receive essential medical care.

Ms. Verma responded to critics by insisting that the HAO approach is not a drastic departure from policy, as §1115 waivers have “long been used to extend coverage to optional populations with more flexibility than what is required under the Medicaid statute.” She emphasized that HAO only affects the working-age adult population lacking disabilities, and that states are not required to use this waiver at all.

At the January 30 rollout, Republican Oklahoma Governor Kevin Stitt announced he would be applying for the waiver. His plan includes work requirements, which are under challenge in court, and requiring recipients to pay premiums.

House Democrats have scheduled a vote on a resolution, H.R. 826, disapproving the HAO initiative.
Health Resources and Services Administration
Notice of Funding Opportunity
Opioid-Impacted Family Support Program - Opioid Workforce Expansion Program- Paraprofessionals (HRSA-20-014)

Funding Mechanism: Grant
Anticipated Total Available Funding: $11.5 million
Anticipated Number of Awards: 19
Anticipated Award Amount: $600,000 per year
Length of Project: Up to 4 Years
Cost Sharing/Match Required?: No

Application Due Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2020
Projected Project Start Date: September 1, 2020

The purpose of this program is to support training programs that enhance and expand paraprofessionals knowledge, skills and expertise, and to increase the number of peer support specialists and other behavioral health-related paraprofessionals who work on integrated, interprofessional teams in providing services to children whose parents are impacted by opioid use disorders (OUD) and other substance use disorders (SUD), and their family members who are in guardianship roles. Additionally, a special focus is on demonstrating knowledge and understanding of the specific concerns for children, adolescents and transitional aged youth in high need and high demand areas who are at risk for mental health disorders and SUDs.

For the purpose of this NOFO, the term “paraprofessional” refers specifically to those working in the behavioral health-related field. Additionally, this program will provide developmental opportunities and educational support to increase the number of paraprofessional trainees receiving a certificate upon completion of pre-service training (Level I training which includes didactic and experiential field training) and entering into in-service training (Level II training which includes training at a registered Department of Labor apprenticeship site).

The program goal is to increase the number of peer support specialists and other behavioral health-related paraprofessionals who are prepared to work with families who are impacted by OUD and other SUDs in high need and high demand areas.

The program objectives are to:

1. Enhance and expand, didactic educational support and experiential field training opportunities for OIFSP paraprofessional trainees that target children, adolescents and transitional age youth whose parents are impacted by OUD and other SUDs, and their family members who are in guardianship roles.

2. Develop, or establish a partnership with, registered apprenticeship programs to provide in-service training that places paraprofessional trainees in behavioral health-related positions addressing OUD and other SUDs. The apprenticeship program constitutes Level II training.

3. Reduce financial barriers by providing financial support to trainees in the form of tuition/fees, supplies, and stipend support.

4. Create additional training positions beyond current program capacity to increase the number of paraprofessionals trained by a minimum of 10 percent in year one and maintain that level each year of the 4-year project period, with a focus on working with families who are impacted by OUD and other SUDs.

Eligibility:

- State-licensed mental health nonprofit and for-profit organizations. For the purpose of this NOFO, these organizations may include Academic institutions, including universities, community colleges and technical schools, which must be accredited by a nationally recognized accrediting agency, as specified by the U.S. Department of Education.

- Domestic faith-based and community-based organizations, tribes, and tribal organizations may apply for these funds, if otherwise eligible.

Individuals are not eligible to apply.

Program Contacts:

Business, Administrative, or Fiscal: William Weisenberg, Grants Management Specialist, Division of Grants Management Operations, OFAM, Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA), 5600 Fishers Lane, Mailstop 10SWH03, Rockville, MD 20857, (301) 443-8056, wweisenberg@hrsa.gov.

Don't miss out on all #ADAA2020 has to offer! The conference includes 150+ sessions highlighting cutting-edge research and clinical practice treatment concepts centered around anxiety, depression, and co-occurring disorders. With a wide offering of innovative presentations and workshops eligible for CE or CME credits or hours, the 2020 annual conference is the place to be March 19-20, 2020 in San Antonio, Texas. Register today to gain access to great learning and networking opportunities and to benefit from the lowest rate available.

Not a member? Join now to take advantage of these low registration rates and receive a year of ADAA member benefits.

Is your practice or institution planning to send more than 4 attendees to #ADAA2020? Click here to learn how you can qualify for additional savings through Group Registration. (Group registration is only available to current ADAA members.)

Check out the latest event and agenda information below.

**Thursday, March 19, 2020**
**Keynote Address:** Resilience in Science and Practice: Pathways to the Future, Ann S. Masten, Ph.D.

**Trending Topics:** Cannabis, Anxiety, and Depression: Cause for Pause or Peace of Mind? Staci Gruber, Ph.D.

**12 Master Clinician Sessions** which will inspire, educate, and challenge you to solve problems and achieve breakthroughs

**Timely Topics:** Experts provide clinicians and other attendees with accessible evidence-based information on timely topics encountered in the practice setting.

**Friday, March 20, 2020**
**Jerilyn Ross Lecture:** The State of the Art of Toxic Stress and Resilience Research: Implications for Best Practices with Vulnerable Populations, Joan Kaufman, Ph.D.

**Clinical Practice Symposium:** The Nuts and Bolts of Working With PTSD, Depression, and Micro-Aggressions with Minority Clients Through the Lenses of CBT, ACT, and FAP

**Scientific Research Symposium:** Resilience From Research to Practice

**Saturday, March 21, 2020**
**Science Spotlights:** Targeting Biological Mechanisms of Resilience to Identify New Therapeutics for Depression and PTSD and A Walk Through the Lifecycle of the Memory Engram

Plan now to stay through Saturday night for ADAA’s 40th Anniversary Celebration, featuring live entertainment, award recognitions, tributes to our longtime ADAA members, a memorable culinary experience, opportunities to meet and network with ADAA members and peers, and more.

The San Antonio Marriott Rivercenter - #ADAA2020 Conference Hotel
The 2020 ADAA Annual Conference (March 19-22) will be held at the San Antonio Marriott Rivercenter (101 Bowie Street, San Antonio, TX 78205) on the San Antonio River. Conference activities including all sessions, exhibits, and receptions take place at the San Antonio Marriott Rivercenter, which will be newly renovated in February. Plan to be there Saturday night (March 21) to help ADAA celebrate our 40th Anniversary! Rooms sell out quickly in San Antonio – so don’t delay! Special ADAA Rate: $229 Single/Double

La Quinta San Antonio Riverwalk -
La Quinta is located directly across the street from the headquarters hotel and a 1-minute walk to the conference rooms at the Marriott Rivercenter. A complimentary breakfast is provided for overnight guests. Rooms sell out quickly in San Antonio – so don’t delay! Special ADAA Rate: $199 Single/Double

Please reserve your room prior to February 24, 2020.
We are now accepting for the 2020 Scaife Fellowship! The application period closes February 28.

We are excited to announce that the application period for the 2020 Scaife Medical Student Fellowship in Substance Use Disorders is now open! The specialized program offers medical students an intensive learning experience about addiction and its treatment. Medical students interested in all specialties, not only addiction medicine, are encouraged to apply! Please share with any colleagues, friends, or anyone else you know who may be interested.

NACBHDD enthusiastically invites you to join us for our upcoming 2020 NACBHDD Legislative and Policy Conference, “Building Resilience Amidst Rapid System Change”, to be held March 2 to 4 at the Cosmos Club, in Washington, D.C.

Early bird registration: by February 1, 2020
- $550 – members (NACBHDD and NARMH)
- $625 – non-members

Regular Registration: beginning February 2, 2020
- $600 – members (NACBHDD and NARMH)
- $675 – non-members

Register HERE
Study of Six Approaches to Treatment for Opioid Use Disorder Finds Buprenorphine and Methadone to be Most Effective in Preventing Overdose

A study by Boston-area researchers of treatment for opioid use disorder (OUD) published in the February 5 JAMA Network Open has found that methadone and buprenorphine are more often associated with reduced overdose and reduced opioid-related morbidity than treatment via opioid antagonist therapy, inpatient treatment, or intensive outpatient behavioral interventions.

In the study of 40,885 individuals ages 16 or older with opioid use disorders, Sarah E. Wakeman, M.D. of Harvard University and her colleagues at Boston University, Optum Labs, and other area institutions, compared six mutually exclusive initial treatment pathways, including (1) no treatment, (2) inpatient detoxification or residential services, (3) intensive behavioral health (intensive outpatient or partial hospitalization), (4) buprenorphine or methadone, (5) naltrexone, and (6) non-intensive behavioral health (outpatient counseling).

MAT with buprenorphine or methadone was associated with a 76 percent reduction in overdose at 3 months and a 59 percent reduction in overdose at 12 months. Treatment with buprenorphine or methadone was associated with a 32 percent relative rate of reduction in serious opioid-related acute care use at 3 months and a 26 percent relative rate of reduction at 12 months compared with no treatment. In contrast, detoxification, intensive behavioral health, and naltrexone treatment were not associated with reduced overdose or serious opioid-related acute care use at 3 or 12 months.

The researchers reviewed opioid-related overdose or serious acute care use during 3 and 12 months after initial treatment, as reflected in de-identified claims from the OptumLabs DataWarehouse for individuals with OUD who had commercial or Medicare Advantage (MA) insurance coverage. Inclusion in the cohort required continuous enrollment for 3 months before and after the OUD treatment initiation ("index") date.

The authors believe their study included the largest ever cohort of commercially insured or MA individuals with OUD studied in a real-world environment with complete medical, pharmacy, and behavioral health administrative claims. A total of 23,636 (57.8 percent) were commercially insured, and 17,249 (42.2 percent) were enrolled in MA plans. Of those with MA, 10,322 (25.2 percent) were younger than 65 years. Non–substance use disorder mental health comorbidities in the 3 months before the index date were found in 10,942 individuals (45.1 percent) in the cohort; depression (9,733 [23.8 percent]) and anxiety (10,704 [26.2 percent]) were the most common comorbidities.

Opioid use disorder was identified based on 1 or more inpatient or 2 or more outpatient claims for OUD diagnosis codes within 3 months of each other; 1 or more claims for OUD plus diagnosis codes for opioid-related overdose, injection-related infection, or inpatient detoxification or residential services; or Medication-Assisted Treatment (MAT) claims between January 1, 2015, and September 30, 2017. Data analysis was performed from April 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019.

The individuals in the cohort studied were an average age of 47.73 years, 52.2 percent (22,172) male, and 74.2 percent (30,332) Caucasian. For OUD treatment, 24,258 (59.3 percent) received non-intensive behavioral health, 6,455 (15.8 percent) received inpatient detoxification or residential services, 5,123 (12.5 percent) received MAT with buprenorphine or methadone, 1,970 (4.8 percent) received intensive behavioral health, and 963 (2.4 percent) received MAT with naltrexone. Not receiving any treatment was more common (2116 [5.2 percent]) than naltrexone (963 [2.4 percent]) or intensive behavioral health.

The primary outcomes reviewed by the researchers were overdose or serious opioid-related acute care use, defined as an emergency department, or hospitalization with a primary opioid diagnosis code. Overdose was identified based on diagnosis codes from claims for health care encounters (ICD-9 and ICD-10). Encounters included both fatal and nonfatal overdose (lack of mortality data precluded that determination). For actively treated individuals, the index date was the date of first treatment. For untreated individuals, the index date was set randomly based on the distribution of time to first treatment among actively treated individuals.

Risk for adverse outcomes started 1 day after the index date. However, because the time sequence for adverse events that occurred during an initial inpatient treatment could not be reliably established, risk of adverse outcomes started one day after inpatient discharge. Overdose and opioid-related acute care use was designated as a negative clinical outcome, which likely the researcher felt indicated recurrence of OUD, but may have underestimated the prevalence of OUD recurrence because it represented severe consequences of ongoing use.

A secondary outcome reviewed was admission to inpatient detoxification or readmission for those who initiated treatment with inpatient detoxification or residential services.

All outcomes were evaluated for 3 months and 12 months after treatment initiation. In the absence of an event, patients were followed up until the earliest date of health plan disenrollment or end of the respective period.

Mean length of stay in inpatient detoxification or residential services was 7.47 days. For the 5,048 in that group who had at least six months of continuous enrollment, mean length of stay was 7.56 days. For the 3,098 in that group who had at least 12 months of continuous enrollment, mean length of stay was 7.64 days. Maintaining continuous commercial health insurance was challenging in this cohort; 19,685 (48.1 percent) were disenrolled by 12 months after the index date. Individuals receiving (Continued on next page)
Study of Six Approaches to Treatment for Opioid Use Disorder Finds Buprenorphine and Methadone to be Most Effective in Preventing Overdose

(Continued from previous page) non-intensive behavioral health had the lowest disenrollment (11,037 [45.5 percent]), and those receiving MAT treatment with buprenorphine or methadone (2,755 [53.8 percent]) and MAT treatment with naltrexone (520 [54 percent]) had the highest disenrollment rates. No differences were found between those who maintained enrollment and those who were disenrolled with regard to race/ethnicity, comorbidities, or markers of severity of OUD. It was not possible to distinguish disenrollment attributable to death from disenrollment for other reasons (e.g., health insurance options offered by employers).

Treatment duration using MAT was relatively short in the cohort. During 12 months, the treatment duration for naltrexone was 74.41 days and 149.65 days for buprenorphine or methadone. Despite the known benefit of MAT with buprenorphine or methadone, only 12.5 percent initiated those treatments. Most individuals in the cohort initiated treatment with psychosocial services alone or inpatient detoxification. The authors of the study suggest it is possible that individuals accessing public sector treatments were not captured in the data, particularly those receiving methadone, which—at the time—was not covered by Medicare and may not have been covered without co-payment by all commercial plans.

During the 3-month follow-up period, 707 participants (1.7 percent) experienced an overdose, and 773 (1.9 percent) had a serious opioid-related acute care use episode. Only individuals receiving MAT treatment with buprenorphine or methadone were less likely to experience an overdose compared with those receiving no treatment. Inpatient detoxification or residential services, naltrexone, non-intensive behavioral health services, or intensive behavioral health services were not significantly associated with overdose.

MAT treatment with buprenorphine or methadone was also protective against serious opioid-related acute care use during the 3-month follow-up period. Inpatient detoxification or residential services, naltrexone, and intensive behavioral health services were not significantly associated with serious opioid-related acute care use (inpatient detoxification or residential services) during the 3-month follow-up. Non-intensive behavioral health services were associated with a reduction in serious opioid-related acute care use. Receiving MAT with buprenorphine or methadone continued to be protective against overdose and serious opioid-related acute care use at 12 months.

MAT with buprenorphine or methadone was associated with a 76 percent reduction in overdose at 3 months and a 59 percent reduction in overdose at 12 months.

MAT with buprenorphine or methadone was associated with a 32 percent relative rate of reduction in serious opioid-related acute care use at 3 months and a 26 percent relative rate of reduction at 12 months, compared with no treatment. In contrast, detoxification, intensive behavioral health, and naltrexone treatment were not associated with reduced overdose or serious opioid-related acute care use at 3 or 12 months. Compared with MAT treatment with buprenorphine or methadone, all treatment groups were more likely to have a post-treatment admission to inpatient detoxification. Patients who initiated treatment with inpatient detoxification or residential services were most likely to return within 3 months and 12 months.

However, treatment with naltrexone or intensive behavioral health services was also associated with a higher risk of subsequent detoxification admission during the 3-month and 12-month follow-up periods. The authors note that their finding that MAT treatment with naltrexone was not protective against overdose or serious opioid-related acute care use is consistent with other studies that found naltrexone to be less effective than buprenorphine. (They also note that he mean treatment duration for naltrexone in this cohort was longer than prior observational studies at 74.41 days.)

They admit to being surprised to find that non-intensive behavioral health treatment was associated with a reduced risk of overdose at 12 months but not 3 months and a reduced risk of opioid-related acute care use. They suggest that although they attempted to control for differences among various treatment groups, individuals referred to non-intensive behavioral health may have represented a less complex patient population than those who received MAT or were referred to intensive behavioral health or inpatient treatment.

The authors acknowledge certain limitations to their study, including the lack of clinical information in claims data or outcomes that occurred outside a health care encounter (e.g., fatal overdoses or active use without medical complication). In addition, as with any observational study, there is the possibility that unmeasured patient characteristics were associated with treatment assignment and outcomes, possibly biasing estimates of outcomes associated with MAT treatment groups. They admit it is also possible that individuals selected for different treatments differed by characteristics that were also associated with the outcomes; i.e., selection bias was possible. Another limitation was the degree of sample attrition during the 12-month follow-up period, as well as the risk of immortal time bias in requiring 3-month enrollment for inclusion—something they contend was important because of the need for a 3-month follow-up to adequately measure outcomes. In addition, they note that assessment of community mortality using claims data is generally characterized by a high degrees of measurement error.
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE FUNDING OPPORTUNITY NOTICE
Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS) Office
FY2020 Law Enforcement Mental Health and Wellness Act (LEMHWA)

Funding Mechanism: Grant
Length of Project: 24 months
Anticipated Total Available Funding: up to $4.3 million
Cost Sharing/Match Required?: No
Application Due Date: Tuesday, March 31, 2020 at 7:59 p.m. E.T.

The Fiscal Year 2020 Law Enforcement Mental Health and Wellness Act (LEMHWA) program funds are being used to improve the delivery of and access to mental health and wellness services for law enforcement through training and technical assistance, demonstration projects, and implementation of promising practices related to peer mentoring mental health and wellness programs. The 2020 LEMHWA program will fund projects that develop knowledge, increase awareness of effective mental health and wellness strategies, increase the skills and abilities of law enforcement, and increase the number of law enforcement agencies and relevant stakeholders using peer mentoring programs.

This solicitation is open to all public governmental agencies, federally recognized Indian tribes, for profit (commercial) organizations, nonprofit organizations, institutions of higher education, community groups, and faith based organizations. For profit organizations (as well as other recipients) must forgo any profit or management fee.

The 2020 LEMHWA program will fund projects related to the following topic areas:
- Peer Support Implementation Projects
- National Peer Support Program for Small and Rural Agencies
- LEMHWA Coordinator Assistance Provider

Eligibility:
This solicitation is open to all public governmental agencies, federally recognized Indian tribes, for profit (commercial) organizations, nonprofit organizations, institutions of higher education, community groups, and faith based organizations. For profit organizations (as well as other recipients) must forgo any profit or management fee.

The COPS Office welcomes applications under which two or more entities would carry out the federal award; however, only one entity may be the applicant. Any other entities carrying out the federal award must be identified as proposed subrecipients. The applicant must be the entity that would have primary responsibility for carrying out the awards, including administering the funding and managing the entire project. The terms and conditions of the federal award are also applicable to subrecipients.

Proposals should be responsive to the topic selected, improve the delivery of and access to mental health and wellness services for law enforcement, and significantly advance peer mentoring mental health and wellness programs within law enforcement agencies across the country. With the exception of the “Peer Support Implementation” topic area, initiatives that primarily or solely benefit one or a limited number of law enforcement agencies or other entities will not be considered for funding.

SAVE THE DATES – 2020 NASMHPD ANNUAL CONFERENCE (COMMISSIONERS ONLY)
July 26 to 28 at the Westin Arlington Gateway Hotel, Arlington, Virginia
Additional Information to be Provided in the Near Future
The Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA), Center for Mental Health Services (CMHS), is accepting applications for fiscal year (FY) 2020 Grants to Implement the National Strategy for Suicide Prevention (Short Title: NSSP) grants. The purpose of this program is to support states and communities in advancing efforts to prevent suicide and suicide attempts among adults age 25 and older in order to reduce the overall suicide rate and number of suicides in the U.S. nationally. Addressing suicide prevention among adults is imperative to decreasing the nation’s suicide rate.

Grantees must use SAMHSA’s services grant funds primarily to support direct services. This includes the following activities:

- Implement initiatives to ensure greatest reach and system change.
- Develop and implement a plan for rapid follow-up of adults who have attempted suicide or experienced a suicidal crisis after discharge from emergency departments and inpatient psychiatric facilities. This must include directly linking up with selected emergency departments and inpatient psychiatric facilities to ensure care transition and care coordination services.
- Establish follow-up and care transition protocols to help ensure patient safety, especially among high risk adults in health or behavioral health care settings who have attempted suicide or experienced a suicidal crisis, including those with serious mental illnesses.
- Provide, or assure provision of, suicide prevention training to community and clinical service providers and systems serving adults at risk. Clinical training conducted should include assessment of suicide risk and protective factors, use of best practice interventions to ensure safety (including lethal means safety), treatment of suicide risk, and follow-up to ensure continuity of care. Applicants must measure changes in provider’s competence/confidence in each of the clinical training areas.
- Incorporate efforts to reduce access to lethal means among individuals with identified suicide risk. This effort will be done consistent with all applicable federal, state, and local laws.
- Work across state and/or community departments and systems in order to implement comprehensive suicide prevention. Relevant state agencies should include, but are not limited to, agencies responsible for Medicaid; health, mental health, and substance abuse; justice; corrections; labor; veterans affairs; and the National Guard.
- Work with VHA Medical Centers and Community-Based Outpatient Clinics (CBOCs), state department of veteran affairs and national SAMHSA and VA suicide prevention resources to engage and intervene with veterans at risk for suicide but not currently receiving VHA services.

If your application is funded, you will be expected to develop a behavioral health disparities impact statement no later than 60 days after your award. SAMHSA also strongly encourages all recipients to adopt a tobacco/nicotine inhalation (vaping) product-free facility/grounds policy and to promote abstinence from all tobacco products (except in regard to accepted tribal traditions and practices).

Eligibility:

- State government agencies, including the District of Columbia and U.S. Territories. The State mental health agency or the State health agency with mental or behavioral health functions should be the lead for the NSSP grant.
- Community-based primary care or behavioral healthcare organizations
- Public health agencies
- Emergency departments
- Federally recognized American Indian/Alaska Native (AI/AN) tribes, tribal organizations, Urban Indian Organizations, and consortia of tribes or tribal organizations. (At least one award will be made to a tribe/tribal organization pending adequate application volume).

NSSP recipients funded under SM-17-007 are not eligible to apply for funding under this FOA.

Contacts:
Program Issues: Michelle Cornette, Center for Mental Health Services (CMHS), Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA). (240) 276-1213, michelle.cornette@samhsa.hhs.gov.

The Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) is accepting applications for fiscal year (FY) 2020 Comprehensive Opioid Recovery Centers Program. The CORC Program is authorized under § 7121 of the SUPPORT Act for Patients and Communities. The purpose of the program is the operation of comprehensive centers which provide a full spectrum of treatment and recovery support services to address the opioid epidemic.

Activities required in the CORC program are clearly identified in § 7121 of the SUPPORT Act. The following activities are required by recipients:

- **Treatment and recovery services.** Each Center shall:
  - Ensure that intake, evaluations, and periodic patient assessments meet the individualized clinical needs of patients, including by reviewing patient placement in treatment settings to support meaningful recovery.
  - Provide the full continuum of treatment services, including:
    a. all drugs and devices approved or cleared under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and all biological products licensed under § 351 of this Act to treat substance use disorders or reverse overdoses, pursuant to Federal and State law;
    b. medically supervised withdrawal management, that includes patient evaluation, stabilization, and readiness for and entry into treatment;
    c. counseling provided by a program counselor or other certified professional who is licensed and qualified by education, training, or experience to assess the psychological and sociological background of patients, to contribute to the appropriate treatment plan for the patient, and to monitor patient progress;
    d. treatment, as appropriate, for patients with co-occurring substance use and mental disorders;
    e. testing, as appropriate, for infections commonly associated with illicit drug use;
    f. residential rehabilitation, and outpatient and intensive outpatient programs;
    g. recovery housing;
    h. community-based and peer recovery support services;
  - job training, job placement assistance, and continuing education assistance to support reintegration into the workforce; and
  - other best practices to provide the full continuum of treatment and services, as determined by the Secretary.
  - Ensure that all programs covered by the Center include medication-assisted treatment, as appropriate, and do not exclude individuals receiving medication-assisted treatment from any service;
  - Periodically conduct patient assessments to support sustained and clinically significant recovery, as defined under Data Collection Requirements;
  - Provide onsite access to medication, as appropriate, and toxicology services;
  - Operate a secure, confidential, and interoperable electronic health information system; and
  - Offer family support services such as child care, family counseling, and parenting interventions to help stabilize families impacted by substance use disorder, as appropriate.

- **Outreach -** Each Center shall carry out outreach activities regarding the services offered through the Centers which may include:
  - training and supervising outreach staff, as appropriate, to work with State and local health departments, health care providers, the Indian Health Service, State and local educational agencies, schools funded by the Indian Bureau of Education, institutions of higher education, State and local workforce development boards, State and local community action agencies, public safety officials, first responders, Indian Tribes, child welfare agencies, as appropriate, and other community partners and the public, including patients, to identify and respond to community needs;
  - ensuring that the entities described above are aware of the services of the Center; and
  - disseminating and making publicly available, including through the internet, evidence-based resources that educate professionals and the public on opioid use disorder and other substance use disorders, including co-occurring substance use and mental disorders.

**Eligibility:** Eligibility is statutorily limited to domestic nonprofit organizations which provide substance use disorder treatment.

**Contacts:**

**Program Issues:** Tracy Weymouth, Center for Substance Abuse Treatment (CSAT), Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA), (240) 276-0142, tracey.weymouth@samhsa.hhs.gov.

**Grants Management and Budget Issues:** Corey Sullivan, Office of Financial Resources, Division of Grants Management, SAMHSA, (240) 276-1213, FOACMHS@samhsa.hhs.gov.
SAMHSA FUNDING OPPORTUNITY ANNOUNCEMENTS

Disaster Response Grant Program – School-Based Services (SG-20-003)

Funding Mechanism: Grant  Anticipated Total Available Funding: $70 million
Anticipated Number of Awards: 70  Anticipated Award Amount: From $1M for 18 months
Length of Project: 18 months  Cost Sharing/Match Required?: No

Application Due Date: Wednesday, February 12, 2020

The Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) is accepting applications for Fiscal Year (FY) 2020 Disaster Response Grant Program – School-Based Services. The purpose of this program is to provide mental and substance use disorder treatment, crisis counseling, and other related supports to children in school-based settings impacted by Hurricanes Florence and Michael, Typhoon Mangkhut, Super Typhoon Yutu, and wildfires and earthquakes occurring in Calendar Year 2018 and tornadoes and floods occurring in Calendar Year 2019 in those areas for which a major disaster or emergency was declared under § 401 or 501 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C.§ 5170 and § 5191) (referred to under this heading as “covered disaster or emergency”), including activities authorized under § 319(a) of the Public Health Service Act. SAMHSA recognizes the impact natural disasters can have, particularly on youth, and is providing funding to mitigate this impact.

Eligibility: Eligible applicants are domestic public and private nonprofit entities in affected areas. Affected Areas include those impacted by: Hurricanes Florence and Michael, Typhoon Mangkhut, Super Typhoon Yutu, and wildfires and earthquakes occurring in Calendar Year 2018 and tornadoes and floods occurring in Calendar Year 2019 in those areas for which a major disaster or emergency was declared under § 401 or 501 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. § 5170 and § 5191) (referred to under this heading as “covered disaster or emergency”), including activities authorized under section 319(a) of the Public Health Service Act.

Contact: Program & Grant Management and Budget Issues:
Odessa Crocker, Office of Financial Resources, Division of Grants Management, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA). (240) 276-1078, odessa.crocker@samhsa.hhs.gov.

Disaster Response Grant Program – Services for Adults (SG-20-004)

Funding Mechanism: Grant  Anticipated Total Available Funding: $30 million
Anticipated Number of Awards: 30  Anticipated Award Amount: From $1M for 18 months
Length of Project: 18 months  Cost Sharing/Match Required?: No

Application Due Date: Wednesday, February 12, 2020

The Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) is accepting applications for Fiscal Year (FY) 2020 Disaster Response Grant Program – School-Based Services. The purpose of this program is to provide mental and substance use disorder treatment, crisis counseling, and other related supports to adults impacted by Hurricanes Florence and Michael, Typhoon Mangkhut, Super Typhoon Yutu, and wildfires and earthquakes occurring in Calendar Year 2018 and tornadoes and floods occurring in Calendar Year 2019 in those areas for which a major disaster or emergency was declared under § 401 or 501 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. § 5170 and § 5191) (referred to under this heading as “covered disaster or emergency”), including activities authorized under § 319(a) of the Public Health Service Act. SAMHSA recognizes the impact natural disasters can have and is providing funding to mitigate this impact.

Eligibility: Eligible applicants are domestic public and private nonprofit entities in affected areas. Affected Areas include those impacted by: Hurricanes Florence and Michael, Typhoon Mangkhut, Super Typhoon Yutu, and wildfires and earthquakes occurring in Calendar Year 2018 and tornadoes and floods occurring in Calendar Year 2019 in those areas for which a major disaster or emergency was declared under § 401 or 501 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. § 5170 and § 5191) (referred to under this heading as “covered disaster or emergency”), including activities authorized under § 319(a) of the Public Health Service Act.

Contact: Program & Grant Management and Budget Issues:
Odessa Crocker, Office of Financial Resources, Division of Grants Management, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA). (240) 276-1078, odessa.crocker@samhsa.hhs.gov.
The Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) is accepting applications for fiscal year (FY) 2020 Family Support Technical Assistance Center (Fam-CoE). SAMHSA recognizes both the critical role families play in addressing mental and substance use disorders and the toll such disorders take on families across the country. The Fam-CoE will focus on training and education of the general public and healthcare practitioners on the importance of family supports and services and the integration of these services into mental and substance use disorder treatment programs. The Fam-CoE will also provide much needed resources and education directly for families.

The recipient is expected to implement the following activities.

- Provide up-to-date information and education related to the inclusion of family support services in the treatment of individuals with mental disorders, including serious mental illness (SMI) and serious emotional disturbance (SED), substance use disorders (SUDs), or co-occurring mental and SUDs. Training and education should be provided on support services such as family counseling; family group sessions; family peer support; parenting services; and services for children of individuals with mental or substance use disorders.

- Information and education must be offered to the public with a focus on reaching families of those affected by mental and substance use disorders. It must address the epidemiology, genetics, manifestation(s) of illness, course of illness, treatment and recovery services for major mental and substance use disorders in adolescents and adults, and serious emotional disturbance in children.

- Provide specialized training to provider organizations, practitioners, and the public, on communication during times of medical or psychiatric emergency and other critical situations with families. Privacy rules are often misunderstood to mean that no communication is permitted with families. A major role of the FamCoE will be to assist in clarifying these privacy regulations, including HIPAA and 42 CFR Part 2, which do permit communication by healthcare providers with family during times of medical or mental health emergency. It will be expected that the Fam-CoE will collaborate closely with the SAMHSA-sponsored Protected Health Information Center of Excellence to develop and disseminate this information.

- Provide publically available training, which includes providing Continuing Education Units (CEUs) for various healthcare professionals/Continuing Medical Education (CME) credit for physicians who participate in training activities, including, but not limited to, webinars, online distance education, and classroom-style trainings. There must be systematic and ongoing outreach to healthcare professionals/healthcare professional organizations to make providers aware of training opportunities offered by FAM-CoE.

- Provide comprehensive resources and training modules for family members to assist families with recognizing signs and symptoms of mental/substance use disorders and steps to take if such symptoms are identified. Resources should be provided to assist family members in identifying treatment resources for loved ones, as well as identifying supports for the family.

- Training and technical assistance (TTA) should be delivered in a variety of modalities including self-paced online learning modules; webinars; products/materials; and in-person intensive training on implementation strategies that will directly enhance family support services across the nation.

- Coordinate with other SAMHSA TTA providers, including the SMI Advisor, SAMHSA-sponsored regional Substance Abuse Prevention, Addiction and Mental Health Technology Transfer Centers; Opioid Response Network; Providers’ Clinical Support System for Medication Assisted Treatment; the Addiction Peer Recovery Technical Assistance Center; and the Service Members, Veterans, and Families TA Center.

- Develop a system of ongoing environmental scans to assure that best practices/evidence-based practices are consistently being presented and updated as information becomes available. This includes working with SAMHSA to address new topic areas/evidence-based practices that require a focus by this resource center and dissemination of those practices.

Eligibility: Domestic public and private non-profit entities.

Contacts:
Program Issues: Humberto Carvalho, Office of Financial Resources, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA), (240) 276-2974, Humberto.carvalho@samhsa.hhs.gov.
SAMHSA FUNDING OPPORTUNITY ANNOUNCEMENT

Planning and Developing Infrastructure to Promote the Mental Health of Children, Youth and Families in American Indian/Alaska Natives (AI/AN) Communities (Circles of Care) (SM-20-10)

Funding Mechanism: Grant
Anticipated Total Available Funding: $5,492,314
Anticipated Number of Awards: 17
Anticipated Award Amount: Up to $310,000 per year
Length of Project: Up to 3 years
Cost Sharing/Match Required?: No

Application Due Date: Monday, March 9, 2020
Anticipated Project Start Date: August 30, 2020

The Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, Center for Mental Health Services (CMHS), is accepting applications for fiscal year (FY) 2020 Planning and Developing Infrastructure to Promote the Mental Health of Children, Youth and Families in American Indian/Alaska Natives (AI/AN) Communities (Circles of Care) grants. The purpose of this program is to provide tribal and urban Indian communities with tools and resources to plan and design a holistic, evidence and community-based, coordinated system of care to support mental health for children, youth, and families. These grants are intended to increase the capacity and effectiveness of mental health systems serving AI/AN communities. Circles of Care grant recipients will focus on the need to reduce the gap between the need for mental health services and the availability of such services for the target population. The program has a strong emphasis on cross-system collaboration, inclusion of family, youth and community resources, and cultural approaches.

Circles of Care grant funds must be used primarily to support infrastructure development, including the following types of activities:

- Identify a structure (i.e. advisory boards, workgroups, task force) and process that will provide ongoing guidance to project staff and promote the sense of community ownership. The identified structure may be a new or existing group, but must include representation from partner agencies, elected tribal officials and other decision makers, in addition to a variety of community members including youth and families as equal partners.

- Assure that orientation and ongoing training on the systems of care approach is provided to a wide audience for the purpose of workforce development through the life of the grant and beyond.

- Use a community-based process that is culturally appropriate and actively engages community members, key stakeholders, youth, elders, spiritual advisors, and tribal leaders throughout the life of the grant.

- Engage various sectors of the community to participate in the systems of care approach through outreach and educational strategies to sectors such as schools, the faith community, the housing community, and the justice system, in addition to healthcare systems.

- Conduct network development and collaboration activities, including ongoing training, for child and youth service providers, paraprofessionals and other informal support providers such as traditional healers, community natural helpers, youth peer leaders, and family members.

- Implement a community-based system of care model, or “blueprint”, for how child/youth mental health and wellness services and supports will be provided in the community. Use a variety of ongoing consensus-building activities with continuous feedback from the community to develop the model, which should be holistic, community-based, culturally competent, family-driven, and youth-guided across multiple agencies.

- Formalize interagency commitments for collaboration and coordination of services and develop policies, corresponding funding streams, and other strategies for how the system of care model, or “blueprint”, can be put into action.

- Identify an area in which services can be piloted to ensure that the infrastructure being created under this program is useful for its intended purpose. Services such as school-based mental health, educational, vocational, or family support services for children, youth, and families should be piloted. Recipients have the flexibility to choose the pilot location and service delivery type.

Eligibility:

- Federally recognized American Indian/Alaska Native (AI/AN) tribes;
- Urban Indian Organizations;
- Consortia of tribes or tribal organizations; and
- Tribal colleges and universities (as identified by the American Indian Education Consortium).

Prior Circles of Care recipients are ineligible to apply.

Program Issues: Amy Andre, Center for Mental Health Services, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA), (240) 276-1132, amy.andre@samhsa.hhs.gov.

The Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) is announcing the availability of per diem funds to eligible entities to provide transitional housing beds or service centers for Veterans who are homeless or at risk for becoming homeless under VA’s Homeless Providers GPD Program models. VA expects to fund approximately 11,500 beds and approximately 20 service center applications with this Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) for applicants who will offer one or a combination of the transitional housing bed models (i.e., Bridge Housing, Low Demand, Hospital-to-Housing, Clinical Treatment and Service-Intensive Transitional Housing) and for applicants who will offer service centers. Funding is based on a variety of factors including the quantity and quality of applications as well as the availability of funding.

Each application must request either transitional housing bed model(s) or service center(s). Although transitional housing applications and service center applications are standalone applications, they will be reviewed, scored and selected for funding together. They will be selected based on their ranked order among all the applications submitted in response to this NOFA.

**Grants:** Limit is 65 percent of the costs of construction, renovation, or acquisition of a building for use as service centers or transitional housing for homeless Veterans. Renovation of VA properties is allowed, acquiring VA properties is not. Recipients must obtain the matching 35 percent share from other sources. Grants may not be used for operational costs, including salaries.

**Per Diem:** Priority in awarding the Per Diem funds goes to the recipients of Grants. Non-Grant programs may apply for Per Diem under a separate announcement, when published in the Federal Register, announcing the funding for "Per Diem Only."

Operational costs, including salaries, may be funded by the Per Diem Component. For supportive housing, the maximum amount payable under the per diem is $48.50 per day per Veteran housed. Veterans in supportive housing may be asked to pay rent if it does not exceed 30 percent of the Veteran’s monthly-adjusted income. In addition, “reasonable” fees may be charged for services not paid with Per Diem funds. The maximum hourly per diem rate for a service center not connected with supportive housing is 1/8 of the daily cost of care, not to exceed the current VA State Home rate for domiciliary care. Payment for a Veteran in a service center will not exceed 8 hours in any day.

**Transitional Housing Applications:** Applications are limited to up to one (1) transitional housing application per VA Medical Center (VAMC) catchment area per applicant’s Employer Identification Number (EIN). Applications must include a minimum of five (5) transitional housing beds per model. Applications may include any combination of one, some or all transitional housing bed models. Choice of a model or combination of models is at the applicant’s discretion. Applicants are encouraged to tailor the proposed model(s) to factors such as their own ability and the particular needs of the community. All housing model(s), site(s) and beds being proposed by the applicant for the VAMC catchment area must be included within a single application. If more than one (1) application per VAMC catchment area per applicant’s EIN is received by the due date, VA will consider only one (1) application. VA reserves the right to select which application to consider based on the submission dates and times or based on other factors.

Applicants are encouraged to consider the need in their community for transitional housing models that are more focused (i.e., Bridge, Low Demand, Hospital-to-Housing and/or Clinical Treatment) over the transitional housing model that is more general (i.e., Service-Intensive). To that end, applicants may request up to 15 Service-Intensive beds per application. If more than 15 Service Intensive beds are requested within the same application, then at least 60 percent of the additional beds beyond 15 must be for a transitional housing bed model(s) other than Service Intensive. For example, an applicant applying for 50 total beds must allocate at least 21 of those beds to a housing model(s) that is not Service-Intensive (i.e., 50 total beds requested minus 15 Service-Intensive beds = 35 beds times 60 percent = 21 non-Service-Intensive beds, leaving 14 beds out of the total 50 beds for additional Service-Intensive beds and/or other beds at the applicant’s discretion).

**Service Center(s) Applications:** Applications are limited to up to one (1) service center application per VAMC catchment area per applicant’s EIN. Choice of site(s) and service(s) is at the applicant’s discretion. Applicants are encouraged to tailor their proposed site(s) and service(s) to factors such as their own ability and the particular needs of the community. All service center(s) being proposed by the applicant for the VAMC catchment area must be included within a single application. If more than one (1) application per VAMC catchment area per applicant’s EIN is received by the due date and time, VA will consider only one (1) application. VA reserves the right to select which application to consider based on the submission dates and times or based on other factors.

Note: Applications for transitional housing beds and applications for service center(s) do not have to include coverage for the entire VAMC catchment area in the application. The coverage area; however, must not exceed the VAMC catchment area identified in the application. If an applicant does not know their VAMC catchment area, they can contact the local medical facility: [https://www.va.gov/directory/guide/allstate.asp](https://www.va.gov/directory/guide/allstate.asp) and ask to speak with the Homeless Program.

**Eligibility:** To be eligible, an applicant must be a 501(c)(3) or 501(c)(19) non-profit organization, state or local government, or recognized Indian Tribal government that meets the requirements in 38 CFR 61.1. Only programs with supportive housing (up to 24 months) or service centers (offering services such as case management, education, crisis intervention, counseling, services targeted towards specialized populations including homeless women Veterans, etc.) are eligible for these funds. The program has two levels of funding: the Grant Component and the Per Diem Component.

**Questions:** Questions may be sent to Jeff Quarles at [VA Grant and Per Diem Program](mailto:jeff.quarles@va.gov).
Enhancing Suicide Prevention in Emergency Care via Telehealth (RFA-MH-20-226)

Funding Mechanism: Research Grant
Anticipated Total Available Funding: $7 million
Anticipated Number of Awards: Up to 2
Length of Project: Up to 4 years
Cost Sharing/Match Required?: No

Application Due Dates: Monday, February 10, 2020; October 15, 2020 (Local Time of Applicant)
Earliest Project Start Date: September 1, 2020; July 1, 2021

The purpose of this Funding Opportunity Announcement (FOA) is to solicit research to develop, optimize and test mental health telehealth methods to help evaluate and treat emergency department (ED) patients with suicide risk, compared to usual care of such patients in emergency departments without adequate on-site mental health specialty consultation. Primary research questions include whether the use of telehealth methods (i.e., without involving in-person interaction between a mental health clinician and the patient or ED staff) affects the proportion of ED patients who: (1) are considered at imminent risk for suicide, (2) are boarded in the ED due to suicide risk, and/or (3) are required to be hospitalized for suicide risk. Other questions address: whether use of telehealth methods affects the rate of within-encounter provision of evidence-based suicide prevention interventions; and whether use of telehealth methods affects the rates of suicide ideation, suicide attempts and deaths, as well as health care use and costs in the year after an “index” ED visit in which a patient was identified with suicide risk. To inform future implementation of telehealth enabled suicide prevention practices in the ED, this FOA encourages research on patient-, provider- and setting-level factors that may facilitate or impede telehealth provision and outcomes, as well as patient and provider views of telehealth provision of suicide prevention practices (feasibility and acceptability of clinical decision making; clinical workflows; ease of use of technology).

Eligibility:
Public/State Controlled Institutions of Higher Education
Private Institutions of Higher Education

The following types of Higher Education Institutions are always encouraged to apply for NIH support as Public or Private Institutions of Higher Education:

- Hispanic-serving Institutions
- Historically Black Colleges and Universities (HBCUs)
- Tribally Controlled Colleges and Universities (TCCUs)
- Alaska Native and Native Hawaiian Serving Institutions
- Asian American Native American Pacific Islander Serving Institutions (AANAPISIs)

Nonprofits other than institutions of Higher Education with and without § 501(c)(3) status
Small Businesses and Other For-Profit Organizations
Regional Organizations

State Governments
County Governments
City or Township Governments

Special District Governments
U.S. Territories or Possessions
Independent School Districts
Federally-Recognized and Non-Federally Recognized Indian/Native American Tribal Governments

Native American Tribal Organizations
Public Housing Authorities/Indian Housing Authorities

Faith-Based or Community-Based Organizations

Non-domestic (non-U.S.) Entities (Foreign Institutions) are not eligible to apply.
Non-domestic (non-U.S.) components of U.S. Organizations are not eligible to apply.
Foreign components, as defined in the NIH Grants Policy Statement, are not allowed.

Scientific/Research Contact: Michael C. Freed, Ph.D., National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH), 301-443-3747, michael.freed@mail.nih.gov

Peer Review Contact: Nick Gaiano, Ph.D., National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH), 301-827-3420, nick.gaiano@nih.gov

Financial/Grants Management Contact: Tamara Kees, National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH), 301-443-8811, tkees@mail.nih.gov
Addressing Suicide Research Gaps: Understanding Mortality Outcomes (RFA-MH-20-305)

Funding Mechanism: Research Grant
Anticipated Number of Awards: 4 to 6
Anticipated Total Available Funding: $3 million
Length of Project: Up to 4 years
Application Due Dates: Monday, February 10, 2020 (Local Time of Applicant)
Earliest Project Start Date: December 2020

In 2017, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention reported that there were more than 47,000 suicide deaths in the United States, which is more than two times the number of homicide deaths in the same year. The annual suicide rate in the U.S. has continued to climb over the past 16 years and suicide is one of the 10 leading causes of death. Reports of a reduction in men’s longevity due to overdose and suicide deaths and a 56 percent increase in the suicide rate among young people ages 10 to 24 years old have put U.S. mortality data in the spotlight. Compounding the impact of this preventable loss of life, the rate of nonfatal suicide attempts is many times higher than the rate of suicide death and the economic impact of suicidal behaviors has been estimated to exceed more than $50 billion annually in the U.S. Suicide decedents are not unknown to health care settings. A significant proportion of U.S. suicide decedents have accessed health care within the year of their death, with estimates ranging from 30 percent to 80 percent, mirroring the broader population access to health care. Approximately one-quarter of suicide decedents had treatment for psychiatric issues prior to their death.

This announcement seeks to support efforts to link data from healthcare system records (for example, suicide attempts) to mortality data so that a more accurate understanding of the risk factors for, and the burden of, suicide among those seen in structured healthcare settings can be discerned. The primary goal of this Funding Opportunity Announcement (FOA) is to solicit applications that propose to directly inform our understanding of mortality outcomes subsequent to healthcare visits. This effort is consistent with NIMH Strategic Research Objective 4, which calls for strengthening the public health impact of NIMH-supported research. This FOA also speaks to goals in the 2014 Prioritized Research Agenda for Suicide Prevention that estimated the number of suicidal individuals who had been seen in boundaried systems (e.g., health care, education, workplaces, incarceration, etc.), who could have been reached by improved detection and intervention, and how many suicide attempts (fatal and nonfatal) could have been prevented. Through that effort, multiple gaps in data linkages were noted. This FOA is also responsive to a 2016 NIH Office of Disease Prevention workshop, Advancing Research to Prevent Youth Suicide, where experts recommended expansion of surveillance of suicide and suicide attempts by linking data from multiple sources (e.g., state all-payer databases, syndromic emergency room data, electronic health records data, health information exchanges, accountable care organizations, research data).

Eligibility:
Public/State Controlled Institutions of Higher Education
Private Institutions of Higher Education
The following types of Higher Education Institutions are always encouraged to apply for NIH support as Public or Private Institutions of Higher Education:
Hispanic-serving Institutions
Historically Black Colleges and Universities (HBCUs)
Tribally Controlled Colleges and Universities (TCCUs)
Alaska Native and Native Hawaiian Serving Institutions
Asian American Native American Pacific Islander Serving Institutions (AANAPISIs)
Nonprofits other than institutions of Higher Education with and without § 501(c)(3) status
Small Businesses and Other For-Profit Organizations
Regional Organizations
State Governments
County Governments
City or Township Governments
Special District Governments
U.S. Territories or Possessions
Independent School Districts
Federally-Recognized and Non-Federally Recognized Indian/Native American Tribal Governments
Native American Tribal Organizations
Public Housing Authorities/Indian Housing Authorities
Faith-Based or Community-Based Organizations
Non-domestic (non-U.S.) Entities (Foreign Institutions) are eligible to apply.
Non-domestic (non-U.S.) components of U.S. Organizations are eligible to apply.
Foreign components, as defined in the NIH Grants Policy Statement, are allowed.

Scientific/Research Contact: Adam Haim, Ph.D., National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH), 301-435-3593, michael.freed@mail.nih.gov.
Peer Review Contact: Nick Gaiano, Ph.D., National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH), 301-827-3420, nick.gaiano@nih.gov.
Financial/Grants Management Contact: Tamara Kees, National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH), 301-443-8811, tkees@mail.nih.gov.
NIMH FUNDING OPPORTUNITY
ANNOUNCEMENT

Addressing Suicide Research Gaps: Aggregating and Mining Existing Data Sets for Secondary Analyses (RFA-MH-20-307)

Funding Mechanism: Research Grant
Anticipated Number of Awards: 4 to 6
Anticipated Total Available Funding: $3 million
Length of Project: Up to 4 years

Application Due Dates: Monday, February 10, 2020 (Local Time of Applicant)
Earliest Project Start Date: December 2020

This Funding Opportunity Announcement (FOA) seeks to leverage data from existing basic, clinical, and intervention research on suicide risk and behaviors as well as social media and healthcare records data, by encouraging the integration of existing data sets for novel secondary analyses aimed at identifying potential biological, experiential, and other predictors, moderators, and mitigators of suicide risk. The use of dimensional variables and inclusion of multiple levels of analyses are particularly encouraged. A secondary goal of this FOA is to support innovative projects that will generate foundational work for research studies on suicide-related behaviors that inform a Research Domain Criteria (RDoC) approach in this area. Projects supported by this FOA will help address gaps identified in the 2014 Prioritized Research Agenda for Suicide Prevention. Applicants seeking to support efforts focused on linking pertinent data from healthcare system records (e.g., suicide attempt events) to mortality data so that a more accurate understanding of the risk factors for, and the burden of, suicide among those seen in structured healthcare settings can be discerned should apply to the companion NIMH Funding Announcement, RFA-MH-20-305.

Eligibility:
Public/State Controlled Institutions of Higher Education
Private Institutions of Higher Education
The following types of Higher Education Institutions are always encouraged to apply for NIH support as Public or Private Institutions of Higher Education:
Hispanic-serving Institutions
Historically Black Colleges and Universities (HBCUs)
Tribally Controlled Colleges and Universities (TCCUs)
Alaska Native and Native Hawaiian Serving Institutions
Asian American Native American Pacific Islander Serving Institutions (AANAPISIs)
Nonprofits other than institutions of Higher Education with and without § 501(c)(3) status
Small Businesses and Other For-Profit Organizations
Regional Organizations
State Governments
County Governments
City or Township Governments
Special District Governments
U.S. Territories or Possessions
Independent School Districts
Federally-Recognized and Non-Federally Recognized Indian/Native American Tribal Governments
Native American Tribal Organizations
Public Housing Authorities/Indian Housing Authorities
Faith-Based or Community-Based Organizations
Non-domestic (non-U.S.) Entities (Foreign Institutions) are eligible to apply.
Non-domestic (non-U.S.) components of U.S. Organizations are eligible to apply.
Foreign components, as defined in the NIH Grants Policy Statement, are allowed.

Scientific/Research Contact: Adam Haim, Ph.D., National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH), 301-435-3593, michael.freed@mail.nih.gov.
Peer Review Contact: Nick Gaiano, Ph.D., National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH), 301-827-3420, nick.gaiano@nih.gov.
Financial/Grants Management Contact: Tamara Kees, National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH), 301-443-8811, tkees@mail.nih.gov.
Implementing and Sustaining Evidence-Based Mental Health Practices in Low-Resource Settings to Achieve Equity in Outcomes (R34 Clinical Trial Required) – RFA-MH-20-401

Open Date (Earliest Submission Date) / Letter of Intent Date: January 24, 2020
Application Due Dates: February 24, 2020 & August 25, 2020, both, 5:00 p.m. Local Time of Applying Entity
Earliest Start Date: September 2020 & April 2021, respectively

This Funding Opportunity Announcement (FOA) supports pilot work for subsequent studies testing the effectiveness of strategies to deliver evidence-based mental health services, treatment interventions, and/or preventive interventions (EBPs) in low-resource mental health specialty and non-specialty settings within the United States. The FOA targets settings where EBPs are not currently delivered or delivered with fidelity, such that there are disparities in mental health and related functional outcomes (e.g., employment, educational attainment, stable housing, integration in the community, treatment of comorbid substance use disorders, etc.) for the population(s) served. Implementation strategies should identify and use innovative approaches to remediate barriers to provision, receipt, and/or benefit from EBPs and generate new information about factors integral to achieving equity in mental health outcomes for underserved populations. Research generating new information about factors causing/reducing disparities is strongly encouraged, including due consideration for the needs of individuals across the life span. Applications proposing definitive tests of an implementation strategy should respond to the companion R01 announcement RFA-MH-20-400.

This initiative supports pilot work in support of subsequent studies testing the effectiveness of strategies to deliver EBPs in low-resource settings in the United States, in order to reduce disparities in mental health and related functional outcomes (e.g., employment, educational attainment, stable housing, integration in the community, treatment of co-morbid substance use disorders, etc.) for the population(s) served. Of interest are settings where a significant number of children, youth, adults, or older adults with or at risk for mental illnesses can be found and evidence-based mental health treatments or services are not currently delivered. Applications focused on developmental work that would enhance the probability of success in subsequent larger scale projects are also encouraged.

Developmental work might include: refining details of the implementation approach; examining the feasibility of novel approaches and technologies; examining the feasibility of data collection including administration of instruments, obtaining administrative or other types of data, etc.; enhancing the protocol for the comparison group and randomization procedures (if appropriate); examining the feasibility of recruiting and retaining participants into the study condition(s); and developing and testing supportive materials such as training curricula. Therefore, collection of preliminary data regarding feasibility, acceptability and engagement of intervention targets is appropriate. However, given the intended pilot nature of the R34 activity code, conducting fully powered tests of outcomes or attempting to obtain an estimate of an effect size may not be feasible.

The goal of this FOA is to conduct pilot work in support of subsequent studies that develop test the effectiveness of scalable implementation strategies to achieve delivery of EBPs with high fidelity in low-resource settings and significantly improve clinical and functional outcomes toward greater equity with outcomes documented the general population studies.

Eligibility

Public/State Controlled Institutions of Higher Education Private Institutions of Higher Education

The following types of Higher Education Institutions are always encouraged to apply for NIH support as Public or Private Institutions of Higher Education:

- Hispanic-serving Institutions
- Historically Black Colleges and Universities (HBCUs)
- Tribally Controlled Colleges and Universities (TCCUs)
- Alaska Native and Native Hawaiian Serving Institutions
- Asian American Native American Pacific Islander Serving Institutions (AANAPISIs)

Nonprofits with and without 501(c)(3) IRS Status (Other than Institutions of Higher Education)

Small Businesses For-Profit Organizations Other Than Small Businesses

State Governments County Governments City or Township Governments Special District Governments

Indian/Native American Tribal Governments (Federally Recognized & Other than Federally Recognized)

U.S. Territories or Possessions Independent School Districts Public Housing Authorities Indian Housing Authorities

Native American Tribal Organizations (other than Federally recognized tribal governments)

Faith-Based or Community-Based Organizations Regional Organizations

NOT Eligible to Apply: Non-domestic (non-U.S.) Entities (Foreign Institutions). Non-domestic (non-U.S.) components of U.S. Organizations. Foreign components, as defined in the NIH Grants Policy Statement.
Leadership (IIMHL) events and followed their small match meeting format (with 40 to 70 participants only), with Rotterdam in 2018 being the first ZSI event to stand on its own (over 100 leaders joined). For Liverpool 2020, we will partner with Joe Rafferty and, together with the Zero Suicide Alliance hosting up to 500 or more in the Liverpool Football Club. For the first time, no invitation will be required and all interested in advancing safer healthcare are welcome to join.

In order to ensure the Liverpool summit maintains the strong focus on networking and action steps of our prior more intimate convenings, we are working with the Flourishing Leadership Institute and their amazing team experienced in whole-system transformation. We’ll be harnessing the complete power of the group’s collective experience and imagination to drive forward the next successes in Zero Suicide Healthcare, and everyone who participates will be engaged.

Interested in becoming a sponsor? Contact karen.jones@riinternational at RI International or justine.maher@merseycare.nhs.uk at Mersey Care for details on available sponsorship packages. We’re excited the American Foundation for Suicide Prevention has again committed their support and look forward to connecting with many others who will help us make this event and its outcomes a success.

Nominate a Dr. Jan Mokkenstorm International Zero Suicide Visionary Award Winner

This year's International Zero Suicide Summit will be bittersweet as our first without our beloved colleague Jan Mokkenstorm. During the Summit in Liverpool, the first annual Jan Mokkenstorm Zero Suicide Visionary Award will be presented in his honor. Below is information on the award and instructions for nominating someone. We look forward to seeing everyone in Liverpool and remembering Jan's contributions to making sure no one dies alone and in despair.

Dr. Jan Mokkenstorm played an integral part of the inaugural International Zero Suicide Summit with the International Initiative for Mental Health Leadership match in Oxford in 2014. In subsequent years, Dr. Mokkenstorm attended the International Zero Suicide Summits in Atlanta (2015), and Sydney (2017) in his continued commitment to the global Zero Suicide Movement. He provided vital participation in the collaborative development of the “International Declaration for Better Healthcare: Zero Suicide” in 2015. He also continued the push for the initiative to “move beyond the tipping point” by hosting the 4th international Zero Suicide Summit in Rotterdam in 2018.

Jan demonstrated his passionate commitment to reducing suicides through his tireless efforts to promote the belief that suicides should never be an event that occurs. Through visionary leadership he inspired countless others to join this cause themselves on an individual, organizational, and community level. He was instrumental in spreading the global adoption of the Zero Suicide mission as well as set the pace for innovation and substantial change in many countries across the globe. Simply put, Jan demonstrated exceptional service to the betterment of society through his work with Zero Suicide and suicide prevention.

Nomination Requirements
1. Must have shown national/international leadership in the area of suicide prevention
2. Must have participated in fostering substantial change and innovation in the area of suicide prevention
3. Must have challenged/helped shape government policies and supported a wider awareness and discussion around suicide prevention
4. Must be in attendance at the International Zero Suicide Summit when the award will be presented
5. Must have two (2) letters of recommendation from recognized suicide prevention leaders in one’s home country

Judging
1. The announcement of nominations will be handled by the host nation in conjunction with other communications about the Zero Suicide Summit
2. The host nation will convene a Nomination Committee of three individuals who will review the nominations and award one winner

If you have nominations or would like to participate, please contact Becky Stoll, Vice President, Crisis and Disaster Management at becky.stoll@centerstone.org.
PSYCHIATRIC PHARMACISTS
Improving access, outcomes and cost

Psychiatric pharmacists are advanced practice clinical pharmacists who specialize in mental health care. With an extensive knowledge of medication management, they are skilled at treating the whole patient. They strengthen the mental health team by working directly with patients, improving outcomes and saving lives.

25% shortage of psychiatrists by 2025
HRSA, 2017

44.7 million adults experience mental illness in a given year
NIMH, 2018

$225 billion are spent on mental health annually
SAMHSA, 2014

75% of physicians find their jobs to be easier when the primary care team includes a clinical pharmacist.
JAPHA, 2017

12 to 1 Up to $12 cost reduction for every $1 invested in pharmacist-provided medication management services.
LICHRP, 2011

PSYCHIATRIC PHARMACISTS ADD UNIQUE VALUE

Part of the team, part of the treatment.
In collaboration with the health care team, patients, and caregivers, psychiatric pharmacists:

- PRESCRIBE* or recommend appropriate medications
- RESOLVE drug interactions
- EVALUATE responses and modify treatment
- SUPPORT medication adherence
- MANAGE medication adverse reactions
- PROVIDE medication education

*Prescriptive and practice authority varies by state and practice setting.

EXPANDED PHARMACY EDUCATION
- 6-8 YEARS Undergraduate and Doctor of Pharmacy Degrees
- 1 YEAR General Pharmacy Residency
- 1 YEAR Psychiatric Residency
- CERTIFY by examination

Board Certified Psychiatric Pharmacist (BCPP) (+ recertification every 7 years)

COMMON PLACES OF PRACTICE
Government supported hospitals or clinics (VA, Dept. of Defense, state)
Public, private, and academic hospitals
Outpatient mental health clinics
Outpatient primary care clinics
Prisons and correctional facilities

38% improvement in patient depression response rates when working with a psychiatric pharmacist.
JPP, 2016

TYPES OF MEDICATION-RELATED PROBLEMS RESOLVED BY PHARMACISTS
Medication Management Systems, 2010

- 30.9% Untreated condition
- 22.8% Inadequate dose
- 13.5% Poor adherence
- 12.6% Adverse reaction
- 8.8% Ineffective medication
- 5.8% Unnecessary medication

This information brought to you by the College of Psychiatric and Neurologic Pharmacists (CPNP), a professional association representing psychiatric pharmacists nationwide. Our members integrate into teams of health care professionals, making a difference in overall costs, treatment efficiencies, patient recovery and quality of life.

More information and references available at CPNP.org/592646.
Coordinating Care from Out-of-State Providers for Medicaid-Eligible Children with Medically Complex Conditions

This is a request for information (RFI) to seek public comments regarding the coordination of care from out-of-state providers for Medicaid-eligible children with medically complex conditions. We wish to identify best practices for using out-of-state providers to provide care to children with medically complex conditions; determine how care is coordinated for such children when that care is provided by out-of-state providers, including when care is provided in emergency and non-emergency situations; reduce barriers that prevent such children from receiving care from out-of-state providers in a timely fashion; and identify processes for screening and enrolling out-of-state providers in Medicaid, including efforts to streamline such processes for out-of-state providers or to reduce the burden of such processes on them. We intend to use the information received in response to this RFI to issue guidance to state Medicaid directors on the coordination of care from out-of-state providers for children with medically complex conditions.

DATES: Comments: To be assured consideration, comments must be received at one of the addresses provided below, no later than 5 p.m. on [insert date 60 days after date of publication]. This document is scheduled to be published in the Federal Register on 01/21/2020 and is available online at https://federalregister.gov/d/2020-00796, and on www.govinfo.gov the Federal Register.

ADDRESSES: In commenting, refer to file code CMS-2324-NC.

The Medicaid Services Investment and Accountability Act of 2019 (MSIA) (Pub. L. 116-16, enacted April 18, 2019), added § 1945A to the Act, which authorizes a new optional Medicaid health home benefit. Under § 1945A of the Act, beginning October 1, 2022, states have the option to cover health home services for Medicaid-eligible children with medically complex conditions who choose to enroll in a health home. States will submit State Plan Amendments (SPAs) to exercise this option, which permits them to specifically target children with medically complex conditions as defined in § 1945A(i) of the Act. States will receive a 15 percent increase in the federal match for their expenditures on § 1945A health home services during the first two fiscal year quarters that the approved health home SPA is in effect, but under no circumstances may the federal matching percentage for these services exceed 90 percent. Among other required information, states must include in their § 1945A SPAs a methodology for tracking prompt and timely access to medically necessary care for children with medically complex conditions from out-of-state providers.

To qualify for health home services under § 1945A of the Act, children with medically complex conditions must be under 21 years of age and eligible for Medicaid. Additionally, they must either: (1) have at least one or more chronic conditions that cumulatively affect three or more organ systems and that severely reduce cognitive or physical functioning (such as the ability to eat, drink, or breathe independently) and that also require the use of medication, durable medical equipment, therapy, surgery, or other treatments; or (2) have at least one life-limiting illness or rare pediatric disease as defined in § 529(a)(3) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 360ff(a)(3)).

§ 1945A(i)(2) of the Act defines a chronic condition as a serious, long-term physical, mental, or developmental disability or disease. Qualifying chronic conditions listed in the statute include cerebral palsy, cystic fibrosis, HIV/AIDS, blood diseases (such as anemia or sickle cell disease), muscular dystrophy, spina bifida, epilepsy, severe autism spectrum disorder, and serious emotional disturbance or serious mental health illness. The Secretary may establish higher levels as to the number or severity of chronic, life threatening illnesses, disabilities, rare diseases or mental health conditions for purposes of determining eligibility for health home services under § 1945A of the Act.

Under § 1945A(i)(4) of the Act, health home services for children with medically complex conditions must include the following list of comprehensive and timely high-quality services:

- Comprehensive care management;
- Care coordination, health promotion, and providing access to the full range of pediatric specialty and subspecialty medical services, including services from out-of-state providers, as medically necessary;
- Comprehensive transitional care, including appropriate follow-up, from inpatient to other settings;
- Patient and family support, including authorized representatives;
- Referrals to community and social support services, if relevant; and
- Use of health information technology (HIT) to link services, as feasible and appropriate.

These services are very similar to the health home services described in § 1945 of the Act, with some variations to reflect the targeted population for § 1945A health homes.

Section 1945A of the Act does not limit the ability of a child (or a child’s family) to select any qualified health home provider as the child’s health home. Per § 1945A(i)(5) of the Act, designated providers may be:

- A physician (including a pediatrician or a pediatric specialty or subspecialty provider), children’s hospital, clinical practice or clinical group practice, prepaid inpatient health plan (PIHP) or prepaid ambulatory health plan (PAHP) (as those terms are defined in 42 CFR 438.2);
- A rural clinic;
- A community health center;
- A community mental health center;
- A home health agency; or

(Continued on Next Page)
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- Any other entity or provider that is determined by the state and approved by the Secretary to be qualified to be a health home for children with medically complex conditions on the basis of documentation that the entity has the systems, expertise, and infrastructure in place to provide health home services. Designated providers may include providers who are employed by, or affiliated with, a children's hospital.

B. Guidance on Coordinating Care from Out-of-State Providers.

Under § 1945A(e) of the Act, the Secretary must issue guidance to state Medicaid directors by October 1, 2020 on:

- Best practices for using out-of-state providers to provide care to children with medically complex conditions;
- Coordinating care provided by out-of-state providers to children with medically complex conditions, including when provided in emergency and non-emergency situations;
- Reducing barriers that prevent children with medically complex conditions from receiving care from out-of-state providers in a timely fashion; and
- Processes for screening and enrolling out-of-state providers, including efforts to streamline these processes or reduce the burden of these processes on out-of-state providers.

Under § 1945A(g)(2)(B) of the Act, states with an approved § 1945A State Plan Amendment must submit to the Secretary, and make publicly available on the appropriate state website, a report on how the state is implementing the guidance issued under § 1945A(e) of the Act, including through any best practices adopted by the state. The required report must be submitted no later than 90 days after the state’s § 1945A SPA is approved. § 1945A(e)(2) of the Act directs the Secretary to issue this request for information (RFI) as part of the process of developing the required guidance, to seek input from children with medically complex conditions and their families, states, providers (including children’s hospitals, hospita, pediatricians, and other providers), managed care plans, children’s health groups, family and beneficiary advocates, and other stakeholders with respect to coordinating the care provided by out-of-state providers to children with medically complex conditions.

We are soliciting general comments on the coordination of care provided by out-of-state providers including but not limited to primary care providers, pediatricians, hospitals, specialists, and other health care providers or entities who may provide care for Medicaid-eligible children with medically complex conditions. We are specifically seeking input on these topics as they relate to urban, rural, Tribal, and medically underserved populations, as barriers and successful strategies may vary by geography. We also seek input on these topics with respect to both Medicaid fee-for-service and Medicaid managed care arrangements. Therefore, in responding to these comments, please differentiate between Medicaid fee-for-service and Medicaid managed care arrangements, as appropriate.

- We are seeking public comment on any best practices for using out-of-state providers to provide care to children with medically complex conditions, including specific examples of what has and has not worked in the commenter’s experience.
- We are seeking public comment about coordinating care from out-of-state providers for children with medically complex conditions, including when care is provided in emergency and non-emergency situations. Discussion of specific examples of what has and has not worked, in the commenter’s experience, is especially welcome.
- We are seeking information about any state initiatives that have promoted and/or improved the coordination of services and supports provided by out-of-state providers to children with medically complex conditions.
- We are seeking public comment related to administrative, fiscal, and regulatory barriers that states, providers, beneficiaries, and their families experience that prevent children with medically complex conditions from receiving care, including community and social support services, from out-of-state providers in a timely fashion, as well as examples of successful approaches to reducing those barriers.
- We are seeking public comment related to barriers that prevent caregivers from accessing or navigating care from out-of-state providers in a timely fashion, as well as examples of successful approaches to reducing those barriers.
- We are seeking public comment related to individual financial barriers (for example, costs of travel, lodging, and work hours lost) that prevent children with medically complex conditions from receiving care from out-of-state providers in a timely fashion, as well as examples of successful approaches to reducing those barriers.
- We are seeking public comment on successful methods to inform caregivers of children with medically complex conditions about ways to access care from out-of-state providers.
- We are seeking public comment on any measures that have been, or could be employed by states, providers, health systems and hospitals to reduce barriers to coordinating care for children with medically complex conditions when receiving care from out-of-state providers.
- We are seeking public comment related to processes that states could employ for screening and enrolling out-of-state Medicaid providers, in both emergent and non-emergent situations, including efforts to streamline these processes or reduce the administrative and fiscal burden of these processes on out-of-state providers and states.
- We are seeking public comment on challenges with referrals to out-of-state providers for specialty services, including community and social supports, for children with medically complex conditions and the impact of these challenges on access to qualified providers.
- We are seeking public comment on best practices for developing appropriate and reasonable terms of contracts and payment rates for out-of-state providers, for both Medicaid fee-for-service and Medicaid managed care.
NOW RECRUITING

CSC OnDemand: An Innovative Online Learning Platform for Implementing Coordinated Specialty Care

Combining the strongest components of OnTrack and the evidence-based Individual Resilience Training (IRT) of NAVIGATE, C4 Innovations is offering a new training in coordinated specialty care.

This is an ideal opportunity for teams to receive new or refresher training in CSC.

The tool will offer scalable, efficient professional development for CSC teams.

Now recruiting both new and already-established CSC teams interested in participating in a research study. Our goal is to test our new training tool with practitioners in the field. Your feedback will help us refine the tool, share what we learn, and improve services for people experiencing first episode psychosis.

What can teams EXPECT?

- Comprehensive, role-specific training for all team members, including peers, offered at no charge to teams
- Courses, consultation calls, and a community of practice led by experts in the field. See reverse for full list of expert trainers.
- Opportunity for refresher training for existing teams and teams with new members.
- Trainings will start in March of 2020
- Opportunity to provide critical feedback on a new CSC training tool

HOW CAN MY AGENCY TAKE PART?

Call our Research Coordinator, Effy: 347-762-9086
Or email: cscstudy@center4si.com
Call for Conference Presentation Submissions

2020 Annual Conference on Advancing School Mental Health

Conference Theme: Equitable and Effective School Mental Health
October 29 to 31, 2020
Marriott Baltimore Waterfront Hotel, Baltimore, Maryland

Hosted by the National Center for School Mental Health (NCSMH)
at the University of Maryland School of Medicine
Division of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry

Submission Deadline: Midnight (PST), Monday, February 24, 2020
All proposals must be submitted online.

Download the 2020 Annual Conference Request for Proposals for detailed instructions. Additionally, we strongly recommend downloading the Word proposal template to prepare your proposal for online submission: type your responses into the Word document and once fully completed, begin your online submission.

If you experience any difficulties, please contact the NCSMH:
Phone: 410-706-0980
Email: ncsmh@som.umaryland.edu

Web: Annual Conference on Advancing School Mental Health

Get information on mental health services and resources near you, searchable by state or zip code:

www.samhsa.gov/find-help
The purpose of this FOA is to request applications to develop, implement, evaluate, and disseminate strategies to improve the management of opioid use and opioid use disorder (OUD) in older adults in primary care settings, i.e., processes or activities to support the spectrum of care needed to: prevent potentially inappropriate prescribing and opioid misuse while ensuring the need for effective pain management is addressed; appropriately prescribe opioids when indicated; manage the care of opioid users to prevent adverse events and misuse; treat OUD when present.

AHRQ anticipates investing up to $7.5 million over 3 years to support up to 3 awards.

The number of awards is contingent upon the submission of a sufficient number of meritorious applications and the availability of funds. Future year funding will depend on funding availability.

While older adults are typically defined to be persons aged 65 or older, AHRQ recognizes the potential limitations with this arbitrary definition and invites applicants to define the term “older adults” as they see appropriate for meeting the objectives of this FOA, if they provide a clear and convincing reason for why the different definition is will be more effective for meeting the objectives of the FOA.

Older adults are especially vulnerable to developing adverse events from opioids use, making safe prescribing more challenging even when opioids are an appropriate therapeutic choice. Biological changes associated with aging complicate management of opioids. Changes in metabolism in older adults enhance their risk of serious side effects such as overdose, dizziness, and/or delirium. Older adults often have multiple chronic conditions and take multiple medications that increase risk of side effects due to drug-disease and drug-drug interactions. For example, older adults are at higher risk for falls when opioids are co-administered with other medications that affect the central nervous system or when the person is frail and has had previous episodes of delirium

Identifying adverse effects due to opioid use, misuse or abuse is complicated further by factors such as co-occurring disorders that can mimic the effects of opioid use. There is also a risk of attributing clinical findings in older adults (e.g., personality changes, dementia, falls/balance problems, difficulty sleeping, and heart problems) to other conditions that are also common with age. If adverse events due to opioid prescriptions are identified, finding appropriate alternatives for pain management can be challenging if other pharmacologic options (e.g., NSAIDS, gabapentin) are contraindicated or mobility issues limit access to other therapeutic options. It can also be difficult to treat pain in persons with cognitive disorders (e.g. dementia, delirium, intellectual disabilities) where communication challenges exist.

Diagnosis of substance use disorders is also more complicated in older adults. Historically, older adults have not experienced high rates of substance use disorders (SUDs); but there is some evidence these conditions have been under-diagnosed in older adults for many years. Clinicians may not associate substance use disorders with older adults or they may be inadequately trained in the identification and treatment of opioid misuse and OUD among older adults, and hence may not monitor for the signs of OUD or co-occurring SUDs in this population. Symptoms of OUD may be masked as part of the “aging” process or confused with other common diseases such as depression or dementia. Similarly, older persons may not seek help because they may not be aware that prescribed drugs can create dependence, or that treatment is available for OUD. Stigma is also an issue. Older patients may not report their concerns about their opioid use for fear of being labeled a “drug seeker” or “addicted. Substance use disorder treatment centers or programs are often designed to engage younger adults, which may present additional barriers.

Specific activities or tools utilized under this FOA might include, but would not be limited to, strategies to address needs and challenges associated with the following types of activities:

For patients for whom prescription of opioids may be considered (e.g., for pain management):

- Use of shared decision-making to support patient involvement in assessment of risk and benefits of treatment options (allow the physician and patient to jointly decide how the risks/benefits of opioids and other pain treatments align with their goals of care, and set realistic pain management expectations);
- Use of multi-modal pain management approaches;
- Use of risk mitigation strategies (e.g., time limited prescribing; developing plan for tapering and discontinuation of prescription at the time of initial prescribing as appropriate; naloxone distribution; prescribing medication for OUD if appropriate); and
- Use of other strategies to guide prescribing that mitigate risk for misuse or development of OUD.

For patients who are currently prescribed opioids for acute or chronic pain:

- Assessing whether opioid treatment is effective and whether the dose and duration is optimal;
- Use of shared decision-making to support patient involvement in assessment of risk and benefits of other available treatment options;
- Monitoring opioid use and identifying risk factors to prevent adverse events, misuse, diversion, or OUD;
- Determining whether tapering is appropriate and, if so, tapering and ensuring effective multimodal pain management; and
- Identification of the presence of opioid misuse or OUD in older adults, whether on prescription opioid therapy or not, and providing effective treatment. Identification of OUD should be based on careful assessment of validated criteria (i.e. using a Structured Clinical Interview for the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (SCID) or Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI) or other instrument to assess all symptoms).

(Continued on Next Page)
The following types of Higher Education Institutions are always encouraged to apply for AHRQ support as Public or Private Institutions of Higher Education:

- Public/State Controlled Institutions of Higher Education
- Private Institutions of Higher Education

Eligibility:

- Non-domestic (non-U.S.) Entities (Foreign Institutions) and non-domestic (non-U.S.) components of U.S. Organizations
- Eligible Agencies of the Federal Government
- U.S. Territories or Possessions
- Indian/Native American Tribal Governments (Federally and non-Federally Recognized)
- State Governments
- County Governments
- City or Township Governments
- Special District Governments
- Eligible Agencies of the Federal Government
- U.S. Territories or Possessions
- Faith-based or Community-based Organizations
- Regional Organizations
- Asian American Native American Pacific Islander Serving Institutions (AANAPISIs)

Applicants must:

1. Convene a team with: the expertise and experience to achieve the goals of this FOA; existing strong relationships with primary care practices; expertise in pain management, OUD, and geriatrics; experience with implementing quality improvement in primary care practices; and experience in disseminating and implementing findings from patient-centered outcome research (PCOR).
2. Identify either a health system which provides primary care, or a network of primary care practices which care for older adults, and provide preliminary data to suggest that pain and opioid management in this population is suboptimal. Data might include, for example, hospitalization rates related to opioids, lack of systems for assessing or monitoring opioid use, lack of procedures for multimodal pain or OUD management, etc. Applications are encouraged to consider including other settings... as long as the intervention is centered in primary care. Applications should include the influence of social risk and organizational factors in the development, implementation, and dissemination of strategies to improve the management of opioid use and OUD in older adults. Applications are also encouraged to select practices that include diverse populations in terms of socio-economic status, gender, race/ethnicity, and geography (including rurality). Descriptions of proposed implementation sites must include:
   - estimates of the number and percentage of older adults cared for;
   - opioid-related harm and adverse events resulting from opioid misuse by older adults; and
   - current treatment of Opioid Use Disorder (OUD) among older adults.

If the cohort of practices to be recruited does not meet the above criteria (e.g., data demonstrating suboptimal pain and opioid management), the applicant must explain how the proposed intervention would lead to additional improvements.

3. Provide a preliminary assessment of the extent to which current efforts to improve pain management and optimize opioid use in the study practices specifically address older adults. Applicants should provide a description of any federal or state (SUD/OUD) funding that they currently receive and a description of how they will avoid duplication/overlapping of effort, science, and/or budgetary items and costs across awards.

4. Develop a comprehensive model or models for improving the management of pain, opioid use, and OUD for older adults in those primary care practices, in the context of person-centered management that acknowledges multiple chronic conditions as well as social risk factors. “Management” includes the entire continuum of care.... Proposed projects should consider all stages of management, although the intensity of intervention may vary by management stage, and should plan for sustainability. The project should integrate Department of Health and Human Services guideline recommendations (e.g., Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institutes of Health), and findings from other relevant AHRQ projects related to opioids and pain management (https://www.ahrq.gov/opioids/index.html), and use evidence-based approaches where possible. AHRQ is interested in approaches that include Learning Health System models, shared decision-making (SDM), safe prescribing and risk mitigation practices, multimodal pain therapy for patients, leveraging existing health information technology or electronic health record systems, and adapting to meet the needs of specific populations of older adults. Models that engage relevant community-based organization(s) who serve older adults are also of interest.

We encourage use of innovative methods and study.... Mixed methods designs that include integrative approaches to examine qualitative and quantitative results together are encouraged. Innovative study designs such as agile and adaptive designs that address complexity are also encouraged.

5. Plan to recruit and engage at least 25 primary care practices, and provide necessary training and support to clinicians and practices in implementing the model. ....

6. Plan for a robust, multi-level intervention evaluation that will examine the effectiveness of the implemented model to improve opioid-related outcomes and pain outcomes among older adults while maintaining or improving other important measures of health and well-being. The evaluation should also describe the experience of primary care physicians and staff members in implementing the model, and lessons learned ....

7. Propose a dissemination plan (including a notification to AHRQ) of any related publications or events.

8. Provide a project timeline showing the major scheduled activities and milestones for the project, including:

   - Start-up activities (e.g., hiring and training staff)
   - Recruitment of primary care practices
   - Implementation initiation and completion
   - Evaluation plan
   - Dissemination and Sustainability Plan

Eligibility:

Eligible applicants include:

- Public/State Controlled Institutions of Higher Education
- Private Institutions of Higher Education
- Hispanic-serving Institutions
- Historically Black Colleges and Universities (HBCUs)
- Tribally Controlled Colleges and Universities (TCCUs)
- Alaska Native and Native Hawaiian Serving Institutions
- Asian American Native American Pacific Islander Serving Institutions (AANAPISIs)
- Nonprofits with and without § 501(c)(3) IRS Status (Other than Institutions of Higher Education)
- State Governments
- County Governments
- City or Township Governments
- Special District Governments
- Indian/Native American Tribal Governments (Federally and non-Federally Recognized)
- Native American Tribal Organizations (other than Federally recognized tribal governments)
- Eligible Agencies of the Federal Government
- U.S. Territories or Possessions
- Faith-based or Community-based Organizations
- Regional Organizations

AHRQ's authorizing legislation does not allow for-profit organizations to be eligible to lead applications under this research mechanism. For-profit organizations may participate in projects as members of consortia or as subcontractors only. Because the purpose of this program is to improve healthcare in the United States, foreign institutions may participate in projects as members of consortia or as subcontractors only. Applications submitted by for-profit organizations or foreign institutions will not be reviewed. Organizations described in § 501(c) 4 of the Internal Revenue Code that engage in lobbying are not eligible.

Non-domestic (non-U.S.) Entities (Foreign Institutions) and non-domestic (non-U.S.) components of U.S. Organizations are not eligible to apply.
Grants for Outreach and Services to Underserved Populations (Underserved Program) was authorized to develop and implement outreach strategies targeted at adult or youth victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking in underserved populations and to provide victim services to those victims. Survivors from underserved populations face challenges in accessing comprehensive and effective victim services that fully meet their needs. As a result, survivors of these crimes from underserved communities often do not receive appropriate services. The Underserved Program supports projects to bridge these gaps. The purpose of all grants made by the Underserved Program is to provide or enhance population specific outreach and services to adult and youth victims in one or more underserved populations, including:

1. Working with federal, state, tribal, territorial and local governments, agencies, and organizations to develop or enhance population specific services.
2. Strengthening the capacity of underserved populations to provide population specific services.
3. Strengthening the capacity of traditional victim service providers to provide population specific services.
4. Strengthening the effectiveness of criminal and civil justice interventions by providing training for law enforcement, prosecutors, judges and other court personnel on domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking in underserved populations.
5. Working in cooperation with an underserved population to develop and implement outreach, education, prevention, and intervention strategies that highlight available resources and the specific issues faced by victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking from underserved populations.

The term “population specific services” means victim-centered services that address the safety, health, economic, legal, housing, workplace, immigration, confidentiality, or other needs of victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking, and that are designed primarily for and are targeted to a specific underserved population.

Eligible Applicants

1. Population specific organizations that have demonstrated experience and expertise in providing population specific services in the relevant underserved communities, or population specific organizations working in partnership with a victim service provider or domestic violence or sexual assault coalition.
2. Victim service providers offering population specific services for a specific underserved population.
3. Victim service providers working in partnership with a national, State, tribal, or local organization that has demonstrated experience and expertise in providing population specific services in the relevant underserved population.

Pre-Application Webinar: OVW will conduct an optional web-based pre-application information session for entities interested in submitting an application for this program. During this session, OVW staff will review this program’s requirements, review the solicitation, and allow for a brief question and answer period. The session is tentatively scheduled for Wednesday, January 15, 2020 from 2:00 p.m. to 4:00 p.m. E.T.

Contact information: For technical assistance with submitting an application for either of these grants, contact the Grants.gov Customer Support Hotline at 800–518–4726, 606–545–5035, at https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/support.html, or at support@grants.gov. The Grants.gov Support Hotline operates 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, except on federal holidays.

Additional NASMHDPR Links of Interest

**AFTER OPIOID OVERDose, MOST Young People AREn’T GETTInG Addiction TReatMENT**, Dr. Francis Collins, National Institute of Health Director’s Blog, January 28

**LARGEST-EVER GENETIC STUDY OF AUTism Yields NEW Insights**, Dr. Francis Collins, National Institute of Health Director’s Blog, February 4

**ADAPTING A PEER RECOVERY COACH-Delivered BEHAVIORAL ACTIVATION INTERVENTION for PROBLEMATIC SUBSTANCE USE in a MEDICALLY UNSERVEDEd COMMUNITY in BALTIMORE City**, Satinsky E.N. et al., PLOS ONE, January 31

**BIPARTISAN RX for AMERICA’S HEALTHCARE & INFOGRAPHIC**, Bipartisan Policy Center, February 5


**NIHM Director’s Message: Addressing Disparities: Advancing Mental Health Care for All Americans**, Joshua A. Gordon, M.D., Ph.D., National Institute of Mental Health, January 29


**Q&A: Top REPORTERS on OPPOSITE COasts Dug into PSYCHIATRIC HOSPITALS in 2019, HERE’S What They LEARNed**, Kathleen McGrory, USC Annenberg Center for Health Journalism, January 30

**STATES WEIGH EXPANSION of their MEICADID PROGRAMs**, Sandhya Raman, Roll Call, February 5
The Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities National Training Center (MHDD-NTC)

The MHDD-NTC is a collaboration between the University Centers for Excellence in Developmental Disabilities at the University of Kentucky, University of Alaska Anchorage, and Utah State University. Established in 2018 through funding provided by the Administration for Community Living, the training center aims to improve mental health services and supports for people with developmental disabilities. By serving not only as a training center, but also as a national clearinghouse, the training center helps provide access to the most current evidence-based, trauma-informed, culturally responsive practices that address the mental health needs of individuals with developmental disabilities. Please visit their website at https://mhddcenter.org/

The inaugural MHDD National Leadership Institute will be held this May at Utah State University. The MHDD Leadership Institute was created to help inspire, motivate and guide professionals to meaningful action by identifying and committing to values. By using components of ACT Training, those that attend the institute will walk away feeling reinvigorated to continue doing their work and finding new ways to build capacity around supporting the mental health aspects of individuals with developmental disabilities. The leadership institute is divided into three sections:

- Pre-Institute: Dynamic online modules to prepare you for the in-person institute experience.
- Institute: Intensive, in-person training May 10–16 at the Utah State University campus in beautiful Logan, UT.
- Post-Institute: Continued cohort support and action plan

The cost for the leadership institute is $1500 and covers the cost of a majority of the meals for the week and your hotel for the duration of the institute.

Register HERE and secure your spot for the MHDD Leadership Institute. Registration closes February 21. Online modules begin on March 30. Please contact Ty Aller at for questions.
NCAPPS assists states, tribes, and territories to transform their long-term care service and support systems to implement U.S. Department of Health and Human Services policy on person-centered thinking, planning, and practices. It supports a range of person-centered thinking, planning, and practices, regardless of funding source. Activities include providing technical assistance to states, tribes, and territories; establishing communities of practice to promote best practices; hosting educational webinars; and creating a national clearinghouse of resources to support person-centered practice. Visit the new NCAPPS website for more information.

Each month, NCAPPS will host monthly informational webinars on a range of topics that relate to person-centered thinking, planning, and practice. NCAPPS webinars are open to the public, and are geared toward human services administrators, providers, and people who use long-term services and supports. Webinars will be recorded and archived on the NCAPPS website. All webinars will include a panelist who represents the perspective of service users, including our Person-Centered Advisory and Leadership Group members, self-advocates, or other stakeholders with lived experience with the topic.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Webinar Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>February 26, 2020</td>
<td>Building Capacity Using Family-Centered Approaches to Promote the Best Life for Young Children with Disabilities: An Innovative Family-to-Family Program</td>
<td>Register HERE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 2020</td>
<td>Person-Centered Practice in Managed Care: Roles and Developments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 2020</td>
<td>Inclusion &amp; Belonging and Implications for Person-Centered Thinking, Planning, &amp; Practice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2020</td>
<td>Person-Centered Thinking, Planning, and Practice in the No Wrong Door System (e.g., Aging and Disability Resource Centers, Centers for Independent Living, and Area Agencies on Aging)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2020</td>
<td>Can Measures of Person-Centered Thinking, Planning, and Practice Be Used to Nudge Providers and Systems to Be More Person-Centered?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 2020</td>
<td>Applying Person-Centered Thinking, Planning, and Practice in Long-Term Care Settings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 2020</td>
<td>Myths and Misperceptions about Financing Peer Support in Medicaid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 2020</td>
<td>Electronic Health Records in Person-Centered Care Planning: Pitfalls and Promises</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 2020</td>
<td>Best Practice in Incorporating Supported Decision-Making and Person-Centered Thinking, Planning, and Practice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 2020</td>
<td>Person, Family, Clan, Community: Understanding Person-Centered Thinking, Planning, and Practice in Tribal Nations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 2020</td>
<td>Toward Person-Centered Transitions: Applying Person-Centered Thinking, Planning, and Practice for Youth with Disabilities in Transition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Work is Only As Good As the Team: Strategies for Developing a Strong Interpersonal Team Collaboration
Friday, February 7, 12:00 p.m. to 1:00 p.m. E.T.

Effective teamwork is the cornerstone of the development and promotion of interprofessional collaboration. When teams come together, their ability to work toward health and wellness for service participants, families, and communities are stronger than any individual efforts. In this webinar strategies to promote interprofessional collaboration and team work will be presented using lessons learned from Assertive Community Treatment in New York.

Presenter: Helle Thorning, Ph.D., Clinical Professor of Psychiatric Social Work (in Psychiatry) at Columbia University

Register Now

Strategies for Success: Using Long-Acting Injectable Medications
Thursday, February 14, 3:00 p.m. to 4:00 p.m. E.T.

Effective teamwork is the cornerstone of the development and promotion of interprofessional collaboration. When teams come together, their ability to work toward health and wellness for service participants, families, and communities are stronger than any individual efforts. In this webinar strategies to promote interprofessional collaboration and team work will be presented using lessons learned from Assertive Community Treatment in New York.

Presenter: Yvonne Yang, MD, PhD, UCLA Semel Institute for Neuroscience and Human Behavior

Register Now

Peer Support in Transitioning from Crisis Care: Variations on the NYAPRS Peer Bridger Model
Thursday, February 27, 3:00 p.m. to 4:00 p.m. E.T.

Transitioning from a short-term crisis stay or a longer-term hospitalization for psychiatric problems is a difficult time. In 1994 the New York Association for Psychiatric Rehabilitation Services (NYAPRS) developed a peer support program to assist people experiencing long term or frequent hospitalizations in transitioning back to life in the community. The program was designed to have peer support workers build positive and trusting relationships with individuals in hospital setting and then to follow the person back into the community, post discharge, providing support and connections to community resources. The Bridger program has continued to evolve over the years and can now be found around the country, serving people being discharged from hospitals, crisis stays, and emergency departments. This webinar will examine the core principles of the Bridger model and its use in transitioning levels of care and supporting people in their journeys to recovery.

Presenter: Patrick Hendry, Mental Health America

Register Now

Accreditation - The American Psychiatric Association (APA) is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education (ACCME) to provide continuing medical education for physicians. The APA designates this live activity for a maximum of 1.0 AMA PRA Category 1 Credits™. Physicians should claim only the credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

Nurse/Nurse Practitioner Accreditation - The American Psychiatric Nurses Association is accredited with distinction as a provider of continuing nursing education by the American Nurses Credentialing Center's Commission on Accreditation.

Grant Statement
Funding for this initiative was made possible (in part) by Grant No. 1H79SM080818 01 from SAMHSA. The views expressed in written conference materials or publications and by speakers and moderators do not necessarily reflect the official policies of the Department of Health and Human Services, nor does mention of trade names, commercial practices, or organizations imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

© 2019 American Psychiatric Association. All rights reserved
TA Network Webinars & Opportunities

**Offering Peer Support Services with Young People at Clinical High Risk for Psychosis**

This framework creates mutuality in relationships that emphasize being with others through their experiences, utilizing the strategies of curiosity and empathy. During this webinar, there will be a discussion about Peer Support as an effective model for training all systems of support, and how to modify this essential recovery component for working with the CHRp populations.

[Register HERE](#)

**Increasing Impact by Engaging Your Audience: A Guide to Social Marketing for Systems of Care**

This session of the System of Care (SOC) Leadership Learning Community will focus on how to increase the impact of social marketing in SOCs. Join this multimedia, interactive discussion of social marketing as a valuable tool for advancing SOC goals. The webinar will include a comprehensive definition of social marketing and its potential impact. Learn about recent social marketing campaigns in the U.S. and abroad. Gain knowledge of the steps involved in social marketing: from understanding your audience to evaluating your success. The importance of partnerships and events for social marketing success will also be discussed. An example of work in a SOC will be presented including gathering data on audiences and employing successful communications strategies.

[Register HERE](#)

**Parent Peer Support Providers: Roles Across Systems and Service Level Intensity**

Parent peer support providers are a growing workforce and a critical part of a comprehensive service array. Parent peer support is offered in a variety of formats - information and referral, individual support and system navigation, as part of wraparound or treatment team, and embedded in residential treatment programs. As the use of parent peer support expands, the utility of this workforce is not always fully understood and employers often are not aware of how parent peer support providers can be integrated across the child-serving systems and at all levels of service intensity. This webinar will offer a picture of how parent peer support is operationalized across settings, systems and service intensities, as well as examples of implementation from the field and tools for use within local communities.

[Register HERE](#)

**2020 Training Institutes, July 1 to 3, 2020**

For more than 30 years, the Training Institutes, a biennial event, have been the premier convening of leaders in Children’s Services. The 2020 Training Institutes, What Could Be: Bolder Systems and Brighter Futures for Children, Youth, Young Adults, and their Families, challenge us to build on existing delivery systems for Children’s Services with new ideas to meet the future.

[Register HERE](#)

**33rd Annual Research and Policy Conference on Child, Adolescent, and Young Adult Behavioral Health**

Since 1988, this annual conference has been a leader in promoting the development of the research base essential to improved service systems for children and youth with mental health challenges and their families. The “Tampa Conference gathers than 700 researchers, evaluators, policymakers, administrators, parents, and advocates. It is sponsored by Child & Family Studies at the University of South Florida, in partnership with the Children’s Mental Health Network, Morehouse School of Medicine, the National Wraparound Initiative, Casey Family Programs, Florida Institute for Child Welfare, Institute for Translational Research Education in Adolescent Drug Abuse, Transitions to Adulthood Center for Research, Pathways to Positive Futures, Child & Family Evidence Based Practice Consortium, Family-Run Executive Director Leadership Association, the National Technical Assistance Network for Children’s Behavioral Health, and the Movember Foundation.

[Register HERE](#)
SAMHSA’s Early Serious Mental Illness Treatment Locator is a confidential and anonymous source of information for persons and their family members who are seeking treatment facilities in the United States or U.S. Territories for a recent onset of serious mental illnesses such as psychosis, schizophrenia, bi-polar disorder, or other conditions. These evidence-based programs provide medication therapy, family and peer support, assistance with education and employment and other services.

Individuals who experience a first onset of serious mental illness - which can include a first episode of psychosis - may experience symptoms that include problems in perception (such as seeing, hearing, smelling, tasting or feeling something that is not real), thinking (such as believing in something that is not real even when presented with facts), mood, and social functioning. There are effective treatments available and the earlier that an individual receives treatment, the greater likelihood that these treatments can lead to better outcomes and enable people to live full and productive lives with their family and friends.

SAMHSA has integrated data on first episode psychosis programs that was provided by NASMHPD and the NASMHPD Research Institute (NRI) into its existing treatment locator. Users receive information on Coordinated Specialty Care and other first episode psychosis programs operating in their state. This tool is designed to help quickly connect individuals with effective care in order to reduce the risk of disability.

You Can Access the SMI Treatment Locator HERE

Social Marketing Assistance Available

Social marketing resources for system of care communities were developed by the SAMHSA-funded Caring for Every Child’s Mental Health Campaign team, which was a collaboration between NASMHPD, Vanguard Communications (link is external), Youth MOVE National (link is external), and the Federation of Families for Children’s Mental Health (link is external). The Campaign was funded through Fiscal Year 2018. Below are a sampling of commonly-requested social marketing resources developed by the Campaign.

System of Care Cooperative Agreements that are currently funded by SAMHSA should seek social marketing technical assistance through the University of Maryland’s TA Network.

Other organizations or entities seeking social marketing technical assistance, including State Behavioral Health Agencies, are welcome to contact NASMHPD. Additional social marketing instructional materials, training, and consultation may be available. If you’d like to discuss your needs and/or have questions about how we can help, please contact Leah Holmes-Bonilla. If you would like to submit a request for social marketing technical assistance or training from NASMHPD, please fill out this application form.

Tip Sheets and Workbooks

Getting Started
- Brand Development Worksheet
- Creating Your Social Marketing Plan
- Developing a Social Marketing Committee
- Social Marketing Needs Assessment

Social Marketing Planning
- Social Marketing Planning Workbook
- Social Marketing Sustainability Reflection

Hiring a Social Marketer
- Sample Social Marketer Job Description
- Sample Social Marketer Interview Questions

Engaging Stakeholders
- Involving Families in Social Marketing
- Social Marketing in Rural and Frontier Communities
- The Power of Partners
- Involving Youth in Social Marketing: Tips for System of Care Communities
- The Power of Telling Your Story
NASMHPD continues to receive recognition from the behavioral health community at large, including from our friends at SAMHSA, for our 2017 Beyond Beds series of 10 papers highlighting the importance of providing a continuum of care beyond institutional inpatient care.

A 2018 10-paper follow-up to the Beyond Beds series is now up on the NASMHPD website. The 2018 papers take the 2017 theme one step further, to look at specific services offered in the community and factors impacting those services, covering such topics as early psychosis intervention, supportive housing and supported employment, suicide prevention for older persons, children’s crisis care coordination in the continuum of care, and trauma-informed interventions, as well as court-ordered referrals to determine competency to stand trial.

One of those papers, Experiences and Lessons Learned in States with On-Line Databases (Registries) of Available Mental Health Crisis, Psychiatric Inpatient, and Community Residential Placements, authored by Robert Shaw of the NASMHPD Research Institute (NRI), reviews a 2017 NRI survey of the extent to which psychiatric bed registries -- a “centralized system that uses real-time tracking to monitor the availability of psychiatric beds” are being implemented in the United States. The study found that 16 states had bed registries and that an additional 8 states were in the process of planning or developing a bed registry. In just over one-half the states with bed registries (9 states), participation in the registry was voluntary and very few states reported having registries that were updated 24/7 with real-time information. The types of beds covered by the registries generally included beds in state and private hospitals, and general hospital psychiatric beds, but only a few covered crisis beds, either for mental illness or substance use disorders, or Veterans Administration beds.

The NASMHPD Technical Assistance Coalition series will continue in 2019.

Following are links to the other nine reports (in final draft) in the 2018 Technical Assistance Coalition series.

- Bolder Goals, Better Results: Seven Breakthrough Strategies to Improve Mental Illness Outcomes
- Weaving a Community Safety Net to Prevent Older Adult Suicide
- Making the Case for a Comprehensive Children’s Crisis Continuum of Care
- Achieving Recovery and Attaining Full Employment through the Evidence-Based IPS Supported Employment Approach
- Changing the Trajectory of a New Generation: Universal Access to Early Psychosis Intervention
- Going Home: The Role of State Mental Health Authorities to Prevent and End Homelessness Among Individuals with Serious Mental Illness
- A Comprehensive Crisis System: Ending Unnecessary Emergency Room Admissions and Jail Bookings Associated with Mental Illness
- Medical Directors’ Recommendations on Trauma-informed Care for Persons with Serious Mental Illness
- Speaking Different Languages- Breaking Through the Differences in the Perspectives of Criminal Justice and Mental Health Stakeholders on Competency to Stand Trial Services: Part 1
Visit the Resources at NASMHPD’s Early Intervention in Psychosis (EIP) Virtual Resource Center

These TA resources, developed with support from the U.S. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, are now available for download!

**Windows of Opportunity in Early Psychosis Care: Navigating Cultural Dilemmas** (Oscar Jimenez-Soloman, M.P.H., Ryan Primrose, B.A., Hong Ngo, Ph.D., Ilana Nossel, M.D., Iruma Bello, Ph.D., Amanda G. Cruz, B.S., Lisa Dixon, M.D. & Roberto Lewis-Fernandez, M.D.)

**Training Guides**

**Training Videos: Navigating Cultural Dilemmas About** –
1. *Religion and Spirituality*
2. *Family Relationships*
3. *Masculinity and Gender Constructs*

**Transitioning Clients from Coordinated Specialty Care: A Guide for Clinicians** (Jessica Pollard, Ph.D. and Michael Hoge, Ph.D.)

**Best Practices in Continuing Care after Early Intervention for Psychosis** (Jessica Pollard, Ph.D. and Michael Hoge, Ph.D.)

**Training Webinars for Receiving Clinicians in Community Mental Health Programs:**
1. *Overview of Psychosis*
2. *Early Intervention and Transition*
3. *Recommendations for Continuing Care*

**Addressing the Recognition and Treatment of Trauma in First Episode Programs** (Andrea Blanch, Ph.D., Kate Hardy, Clin. Psych.D., Rachel Loewy, Ph.D. & Tara Neindam, Ph.D.)

**Trauma, PTSD and First Episode Psychosis**
**Addressing Trauma and PTSD in First Episode Psychosis Programs**

**Supporting Students Experiencing Early Psychosis in Schools** (Jason Schiffman, Ph.D., Sharon A. Hoover, Ph.D., Samantha Redman, M.A., Caroline Roemer, M.Sc., and Jeff Q. Bostic, M.D., Ed.D.)

**Engaging with Schools to Support Your Child with Psychosis**
**Supporting Students Experiencing Early Psychosis in Middle School and High School**

**Addressing Family Involvement in CSC Services** (Laurie Flynn and David Shern, Ph.D.)

**Helping Families Understand Services for Persons with Early Serious Mental Illness: A Tip Sheet for Families**
**Family Involvement in Programming for Early Serious Mental Illness: A Tip Sheet for Clinicians**

**Early Serious Mental Illness: Guide for Faith Communities** (Mihran Kazandjian, M.A.)

**Coordinated Specialty Care for People with First Episode Psychosis: Assessing Fidelity to the Model** (Susan Essock, Ph.D. and Donald Addington, M.D.)

*For more information about early intervention in psychosis, please visit https://www.nasmhpdp.org/content/early-intervention-psychosis-eip*
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NASMHPD Links of Interest
Federal Data Summary School Years 2015-16 to 2017-18 for the Education for Homeless Children and Youth, National Center for Homeless Education on Contract for the U.S. Department of Education, January 2020
Baltimore Public Behavioral Health System Gap Analysis Final Report, Human Services Research Institute, December 2019
The Suicide Rate in N.Y. State is the Lowest in the Nation. Experts Want to Figure Out Why, Michelle Andrews, Washington Post, February 2
Depression May Elevate Dementia Risk, Nicholas Bakalar, New York Times, January 23 & The Association of Depression with Subsequent Dementia Diagnosis: A Swedish Nationwide Cohort Study from 1964 to 2016, Holmquist S., Nordström A. & Nordström P., PLOS Medicine, January 9
Geographic Disparities Affect Access to Buprenorphine Services for Opioid Use Disorder, Department of Health and Human Services Office of the Inspector General, January 2020
National Drug Control Strategy, Office of National Drug Control Policy, February 2020
Reasons for Frequent Psychiatric Emergency Service Use in a Large Urban Center, Poremski D., M.Sc., Ph.D. et al., Psychiatric Services In Advance, January 30